

1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
3 TYLER DIVISION

3 SOVERAIN SOFTWARE)
4 - vs -) DOCKET NO. 6:07cv511
5 NEWEGG, INC.) Tyler, Texas
6) 1:00 p.m.
7) April 28, 2010

8 TRANSCRIPT OF TRIAL
9 AFTERNOON SESSION
10 BEFORE THE HONORABLE LEONARD DAVIS,
11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE, AND A JURY

12 A P P E A R A N C E S

10 FOR THE PLAINTIFFS: MR. KENNETH R. ADAMO
11 JONES DAY
12 2727 N. Harwood St.
13 Dallas, Texas 75201-1515
14 MR. THOMAS L. GIANNETTI
15 MR. BARRY R. SATINE
16 MS. CLARK CRADDOCK
17 JONES DAY
18 222 East 41st St.
19 New York, New York 10017-6702
20 MR. CARL ROTH
21 ROTH LAW FIRM
22 115 N. Wellington, Ste. 200
23 P.O. Box 876
24 Marshall, Texas 75670
25 MR. MICHAEL C. SMITH
 SIEBMAN, REYNOLDS, BURG,
 PHILLIPS & SMITH
 713 S. Washington Ave.
 Marshall, Texas 75670

22 COURT REPORTER: MS. JUDITH WERLINGER
23 Proceedings taken by Machine Stenotype; transcript was
24 produced by a Computer.

1 FOR THE THE DEFENDANTS: MR. RICHARD SAYLES
MR. MARK STRACHAN
2 SAYLES WERNER
4400 Renaissance
3 1201 Elm St.
Dallas, Texas 75270
4
MR. HERBERT A. YARBROUGH, III
5 YARBROUGH LAW FIRM
100 E. Ferguson, Ste. 1015
6 Tyler, Texas 75702
7
MR. DAVID C. HANSON
8 MR. KENT BALDAUF, JR.
MR. DANIEL H. BREAN
9 THE WEBB LAW FIRM
200 Koppers Bldg.
10 436 Seventh Ave.
Pittsburgh, PA 15219
11
MS. CLAUDIA W. FROST
12 MR. JEREMY J. GASTON
13 PILLSBURY WINTHROP
909 Fannin St., Ste 2000
14 Houston, Texas 77010
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

1 P R O C E E D I N G S

2 (Jury out.)

3 COURT SECURITY OFFICER: All rise.

4 THE COURT: Please be seated.

5 All right. Do we have something to take
6 up before the jury comes in?

7 MR. HANSON: Yes, Your Honor.

8 MR. GIANNETTI: I'm sorry. May I use the
9 podium, Your Honor?

10 THE COURT: Yes, you may.

11 MR. GIANNETTI: Your Honor, there are
12 going to be two witnesses coming up, and I wanted to
13 raise a couple of evidentiary matters.

14 First with respect to Mr. Treese. From
15 the documents that we've been provided by counsel, it
16 appears that Mr. Treese is going to be asked about a
17 paper, the Trewitt, T-R-E-W-I-T-T paper, which is
18 Defendant's Exhibit 26. This is a paper written by a
19 DEC employee, which was originally authored --

20 THE COURT: A staff employee of who?

21 MR. GIANNETTI: A DEC employee.

22 THE COURT: Oh, a DEC employee.

23 MR. GIANNETTI: DEC, D-E-C, DEC employee.

24 It was originally offered in support of
25 the Defendant's prior art defenses, invalidity defenses.

1 It was the subject of our Motion in Limine No. 22. They
2 agreed to the motion, and so the paper is out of the
3 case as prior art.

4 I understand, however, that they are --
5 they have some other theory under which they want to
6 bring it in, and they want to ask Mr. Treese about it.
7 And so my -- my motion is that -- well, actually, the
8 motion's already been granted. And so my request is
9 that this Trewitt paper be excluded and that they be
10 prevented from questioning Mr. Treese about the paper
11 since it doesn't have any -- since it's not prior art.
12 It doesn't have any relevance. It's out of the case.

13 MR. HANSON: Your Honor, we're offering
14 it as evidence of state of the art and level of skill in
15 the art. We're not offering it as prior art. It may
16 seem like a distinction, but -- and it is a distinction.

17 And I have a case here, Your Honor, which
18 I provided to the other side, in which the Court of
19 Appeals for the Federal Circuit allowed documents which
20 were not prior art to be used to show the level of skill
21 in the art.

22 The level of skill in the art, as this
23 Court well knows, is one of the factors in determining
24 whether or not a claimed invention is obvious or
25 non-obvious. And that's why we're offering it.

1 THE COURT: And what is -- what -- excuse
2 me. Go ahead.

3 MR. GIANNETTI: Two things, Your Honor.
4 The level of skill of the art not in dispute. There was
5 an agreed-to jury instruction that sets a level of skill
6 in the art. So I don't see that this is relevant to
7 anything in the case. There's no dispute over what the
8 level of skill in the art is.

9 And I will be happy to address their
10 case, Your Honor. It was an unusual situation. It was
11 nothing like this in their case. In fact, I will hand
12 up a copy of it.

13 While it's true in this case that the
14 Court did admit it -- I'm on Page 13, Your Honor, which
15 I've highlighted -- this case was an unusual situation
16 where the person who was the proponent of the prior art,
17 a fellow named Lund, his level of skill was below that
18 of the ordinary skill in the art. And the question was
19 whether if something was -- first of all, it came in on
20 the issue of motivation to combined references, which is
21 not what they're offering it for; and, secondly, the
22 author of this particular piece of prior art was agreed
23 to be a person of less than ordinary skill in the art.
24 And that's certainly not the case with Mr. Trewitt.

25 So this is really just a back-door way of

1 getting around this motion in limine, which they agreed
2 to, which says that this does not come in as prior art.
3 It's not relevant to any issues in the case, and their
4 case does not support this authority.

5 I think Your Honor should exclude this
6 evidence.

7 MR. HANSON: If I may, Your Honor, I
8 would point out one more thing.

9 This document was supplied to the Patent
10 Office and is part of the file history of the '639
11 patent, the reexam of the '314, and the reexam of the
12 '492 patent. So, it's part of the record before the
13 Patent Office. And I believe, under those
14 circumstances, it's allowable as evidence of level of
15 skill in the art.

16 MR. GIANNETTI: May I respond to that,
17 Your Honor?

18 THE COURT: Yes, you may.

19 MR. GIANNETTI: The Patent Office
20 concluded it wasn't a publication, and, therefore, not
21 prior art. So it's consistent with our motion in
22 limine.

23 THE COURT: All right. The objection is
24 sustained. All right. What else?

25 MR. GIANNETTI: The second issue is

1 Mr. Trevor -- no, excuse me. Just a second.

2 The second issue relates to Mr. Trevor's
3 testimony. Mr. Trevor is going to be testifying about
4 certain book excerpts, and these are Defendant's
5 Exhibits 2, 3, and 4. I think, Your Honor, I will hand
6 up copies of them. And we objected to these as hearsay.
7 We would just like to renew our objection, you to
8 entertain our objection.

9 These plainly are hearsay when offered as
10 corroboration for what the CompuServe Mall was, which I
11 believe that's what its purpose is.

12 THE COURT: These are the CompuServe
13 manuals?

14 MR. ADAMO: Yes.

15 MR. GIANNETTI: These are the manuals,
16 Your Honor.

17 THE COURT: Okay.

18 MR. GIANNETTI: And we would renew it.
19 They're not only hearsay, but they're double hearsay.
20 And they're not subject to any exception. We believe
21 they should be excluded.

22 THE COURT: All right. Response.

23 MR. HANSON: There is an exception.
24 There is the 807. These are books that are published.
25 We'll be able to establish with evidence that witness

1 has seen them when they were published. And there's no
2 evidence to show that the authors didn't actually have
3 personal knowledge of them; and there's evidence to show
4 that the authors did have personal knowledge of them.

5 MR. GIANNETTI: Your Honor, they
6 shouldn't be offered for the truth. And these are
7 Exhibits 2 through 5.

8 MR. HANSON: I do --

9 THE COURT: Excuse me. Go ahead.

10 MR. HANSON: I believe this was one of
11 the issues that was already argued on a motion in
12 limine, and decided.

13 MR. GIANNETTI: I believe it wasn't
14 decided finally, Your Honor.

15 THE COURT: Well, it is now. It's
16 overruled.

17 What's next?

18 MR. GIANNETTI: One further matter with
19 respect to Mr. Trevor.

20 You recall the Court ruled that
21 Mr. Trevor's testimony must be corroborated. I believe
22 that was the ruling on the motions in limine. So we
23 would -- we would request the Court to take Mr. Trevor's
24 testimony under Rule 104(b) of the Federal Rules of
25 Evidence, which is the rule that permits relevancy of

1 testimony to be conditioned on facts. I will hand up a
2 copy of the rule here, Your Honor. I have highlighted
3 the relevant portions.

4 Basically, the way this -- the way we
5 understand this rule, Mr. Trevor would be permitted to
6 testify. The Defendants would be -- have the burden of
7 establishing that the testimony is corroborated. And if
8 they fail in that endeavor, as we believe they will,
9 Your Honor would have to exclude the testimony. So, in
10 other words, his testimony would be conditioned on their
11 being able to prove that it's corroborated.

12 We believe that's consistent with the
13 rule and also consistent with Your Honor's prior ruling
14 that Mr. Trevor has to be corroborated.

15 THE COURT: Response.

16 MR. HANSON: Mr. Trevor's testimony will
17 be closely tied to the documents that you have just
18 accepted into evidence.

19 MR. GIANNETTI: That --

20 MR. HANSON: There's a rule of reason
21 with regard to corroboration, and it doesn't have to be
22 word-for-word, but it will be very close to what has
23 actually been set forth in the books.

24 MR. GIANNETTI: Your Honor, that's just
25 the problem. Our view is the books don't corroborate.

1 The books are so general and so nondisclosive of the
2 technical details.

3 THE COURT: You can make an objection or
4 motion at the appropriate time, but I will hear the
5 testimony, and we will see whether -- whether they tie
6 it together sufficiently.

7 MR. GIANNETTI: Okay, Your Honor.

8 And one final thing. I just want to
9 remind the Court that Mr. Trevor is appearing here as a
10 fact witness. And when we discussed this situation
11 before, I think you said that he would not be permitted
12 to testify as an expert.

13 And during the course of his examination,
14 we're going to be watching that pretty closely, I'm
15 sure. And I don't think this is a situation that's
16 appropriate for lay testimony. This is the kind of
17 technical information where only experts who have
18 submitted reports and are properly qualified before the
19 Court should be permitted to give opinion testimony.

20 THE COURT: Very well. Thank you.

21 MR. HANSON: And one more exhibit, Your
22 Honor. We want to offer Exhibit 156, which is called
23 the CompuServe Mall video, because we understand that
24 Soverain has withdrawn their objection to it.

25 MR. GIANNETTI: Your Honor, I understand

1 this is going to be part of Mr. Trevor's testimony.

2 MR. HANSON: That's correct.

3 MR. GIANNETTI: We will admit it on that
4 basis. Certainly it's illustrative of what he's going
5 to be testifying about.

6 THE COURT: Very well. Be admitted.

7 Anything further?

8 Bring the jury in.

9 COURT SECURITY OFFICER: All rise for the
10 jury.

11 (Jury in.)

12 THE COURT: All right. Please be seated.

13 MR. HANSON: Your Honor, at this time we
14 call Mr. Treese on cross-examination.

15 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Treese.

16 MR. ADAMO: He's in the witness room,
17 Your Honor. One of my colleagues is going to get him.

18 THE COURT: Okay.

19 MR. ADAMO: Oh, there he is.

20 G. WINFIELD TREESE, DEFENDANTS' WITNESS,

21 PREVIOUSLY SWORN

22 DIRECT EXAMINATION

23 BY MR. HANSON:

24 Q Good afternoon, Mr. Treese.

25 A Good afternoon.

1 Q Good to see you again. I have a number of
2 questions, and I think we can go through this pretty
3 quick.

4 When you were at DEC Cambridge research lab,
5 you did work with the internet; isn't that correct?

6 A That's correct.

7 Q And at that time you were familiar with the
8 tools of the internet, such as html?

9 A Yes.

10 Q Http?

11 A Yes.

12 Q And URLs?

13 A Yes.

14 Q What is html again?

15 A Html is the language we use to describe what
16 is presented on a web page.

17 Q And http is?

18 A Http is the protocol or the method of
19 communication between a web browser and a web server.

20 Q And a URL is what?

21 A URL is the name or the address of a web page.

22 Q And were you also familiar with the anchor
23 feature of html?

24 A Yes.

25 Q And what is the anchor feature of html?

1 A The anchor feature lets a URL specify not only
2 the web page but a particular part of the web page, like
3 a paragraph somewhere on the page.

4 Q And isn't it a fact that this is the basis of
5 hypertext when working with the worldwide web?

6 A URLs are the links we use in the worldwide
7 web, yes.

8 Q And not one of these items, html, http, URLs
9 or hypertext links, were invented by DEC or Open Market;
10 isn't that correct?

11 A That is correct.

12 Q Now, just before -- well, during the time you
13 were at DEC, you -- did you work with the mosaic
14 browser?

15 A Yes, I did.

16 Q And was the mosaic browser the first graphic
17 user interface type browser?

18 A It was the first one that I used.

19 Q And can you explain to the jury what is a
20 graphic user interface browser?

21 A A graphic user interface browser is one that
22 can use pictures, drawings, and text as well as colors,
23 different fonts, and things like that, to provide a rich
24 presentation of information.

25 Q And isn't it a fact that neither DEC nor Open

1 Market invented or developed the mosaic browser?

2 A That's correct.

3 Q And, in fact, it was developed by the NCSA, or
4 the National Super Computer Applications organization
5 that was at the University of Illinois?

6 A That's correct.

7 Q Now, when you worked at DEC, did you also work
8 with a server that was available from NCSA, a web
9 server?

10 A I believe we did, yes.

11 Q And when you went to Open Market, is that not
12 the web server that you worked with?

13 A That's the web server software we used
14 initially.

15 Q And isn't it a fact that no one at DEC or at
16 Open Market developed NCSA web server?

17 A We did not develop at Open Market the original
18 server software from NCSA.

19 Q And isn't it a fact that the web server, NCSA
20 web server, had a feature called common gateway
21 interface?

22 A Yes, it did.

23 Q And can you explain what the common gateway
24 interface is?

25 A The common gateway interface was a way for a

1 web server to communicate with another program that was
2 going to provide information back to the user.

3 Q And that was invented by NCSA, correct?

4 A I don't recall if that was originally from
5 NCSA.

6 Q But it was part of the NCSA web server that
7 you worked with at Open Market?

8 A Yes. The web server did implement the common
9 gateway interface.

10 Q And isn't it a fact that, when the shopping
11 cart database was implemented at Open Market, it was
12 implemented using a commercial database management
13 system called Sybase?

14 A We used the Sybase database product to store
15 the information for the shopping cart.

16 Q The Sybase database was not developed by Open
17 Market, correct?

18 A That's correct.

19 Q And isn't it a fact that in the development of
20 the technology that's been incorporated in the
21 application for the '314 patent, the Sybase database was
22 connected to the NCSA server using the CGI function?

23 A The -- our application code communicated with
24 the web server for the common gateway interface. Then
25 the application software that we developed made calls to

1 the Sybase database software.

2 Q And were those calls facilitated by use of a
3 language called Tcl?

4 A The language that we used for writing the
5 software code for many of those applications was called
6 Tcl.

7 Q And was there not a kit of Tcl available
8 publicly to connect web servers using CGI to the Sybase
9 database that was used by Open Market?

10 A There was a tool kit for communicating with
11 the Sybase database from a Tcl application.

12 Q And the Tcl kit was not invented or developed
13 by Open Market; is that correct?

14 A Are you referring to the Tcl database?

15 Q Well, let's take them one at a time. The Tcl
16 language to begin with?

17 A The Tcl language and the software used to
18 implement the language were not developed at Open
19 Market.

20 Q And what about the tool kit?

21 A The tool kit for communicating with the
22 database was not developed at Open Market.

23 Q And earlier we covered the fact that, when the
24 '314 patent was filed, the patent itself did not teach
25 or enable one to transfer credit card numbers over the

1 internet encrypted?

2 A We talked yesterday about the software did not
3 implement that function.

4 Q Correct.

5 And isn't it a fact that cookies were not
6 invented by Open Market?

7 A Cookies were not invented by Open Market.

8 Q And isn't it a fact that the SSL protocol that
9 enables the transfer of encrypted information over the
10 internet was not invented by Open Market?

11 A The SSL protocol was not invented by Open
12 Market.

13 Q Now, Mr. Treese, have you written a book?

14 A Yes, I have.

15 Q And who was your coauthor?

16 A Dr. Stewart.

17 Q And did you and Dr. Stewart make every attempt
18 to make that book accurate and complete?

19 A Yes, we did.

20 Q And did it come out in two versions?

21 A Yes, it did.

22 Q And was the second version published in around
23 2003?

24 A That's correct.

25 Q Now, do you recall in that book that you

1 described three places where a shopping cart might be
2 placed: Server side, client side, and then a
3 protocol-based shopping cart?

4 A Yes, that's the way we described it.

5 Q And is that an accurate -- was that an
6 accurate description of the situation when you were
7 working developing the subject matter that's set forth
8 in the '314 application?

9 A We had some understanding about those
10 characteristics at that time.

11 Q And isn't it a fact that the -- that there was
12 no way, at the time the '314 patent application was
13 filed, to implement a cookie-based shopping cart?

14 A There was no means to implement a cookie-based
15 shopping cart available to us at that time.

16 Q How would you characterize a cookie-based
17 shopping cart, as client side or protocol based? I
18 forget how you put it the last time.

19 A I believe we would classify it as a
20 protocol-based shopping cart.

21 Q Is that because the cookie goes back and
22 forth?

23 A That's correct.

24 Q So isn't it a fact that the only way to
25 implement a shopping cart that's described in the

1 application for the '314 patent is a server-side
2 shopping cart?

3 A I don't think that's the case.

4 Q And why do you say that?

5 A On the -- my recollection is that the
6 description in the '314 patent refers to the server
7 updating a database. It does not specify where the
8 database is kept.

9 Q Well, maybe you didn't understand my question.
10 What I'm saying is that the only description of -- of a
11 way to do a shopping cart set forth in the '314 patent
12 is one that's server side?

13 A It's a description of the server managing the
14 contents of a shopping cart.

15 Q And do you recall that you were deposed in
16 this application (sic)?

17 A Yes, I was.

18 MR. HANSON: Excuse me, Your Honor.

19 THE COURT: Uh-huh.

20 Q (By Mr. Hanson) Well, let's go on.

21 When Open Market came to work in what, about
22 May of 1994, was there a feature of html called basic
23 authorization?

24 A Basic authentication, yes, there was.

25 Q Authentication. Thank you.

1 And can you explain to the jury how basic
2 authentication worked?

3 A Basic authentication was a simple mechanism
4 that, when you would -- when a web browser would connect
5 to the web server, the web server would say, before I
6 can give you that page, I need to identify you. And the
7 web browser would prompt the user for a name and a
8 password and send that along with a repeat of the rest
9 of the request, thus identifying the user to the
10 browser -- to the server.

11 Q And every time a server requested
12 authorization again, isn't it a fact that the client
13 browser would automatically send back the authorization?

14 A Yes, it would. You wouldn't have to be
15 prompted for it again.

16 Q And isn't this the way that -- well, I think
17 you testified regarding what a session was and what a
18 state was, and I believe you said shopping cart would be
19 an example of a state; is that correct?

20 A Shopping cart items are an example of state
21 maintained in a web session.

22 Q And if there are multiple users accessing a
23 server, there has to be some method to keep the states
24 separate -- the state of one user separate from the
25 state of another user; is that not correct?

1 A That's correct.

2 Q And is that -- is that the reason for
3 sessions?

4 A That's part of the reason for sessions.

5 Q But that is a way session is implemented?
6 Let me restate the question.

7 How was session implemented to maintain the
8 shopping cart described in the '314 patent application?

9 A I don't recall the precise specification of
10 those mechanisms.

11 Q Wasn't it a fact that basic authentication was
12 used to keep track of the user, and basic authentication
13 was used repeatedly to identify the correct shopping
14 cart?

15 A To the best of my recollection, we used basic
16 authentication to identify the users but not to identify
17 individual sessions.

18 Q And have you discussed this recently with
19 Dr. Stewart?

20 A No, I have not.

21 Q Is it not a fact that in your book, you and
22 Dr. Stewart have said that basic authorization can be
23 used as a means of keeping track of sessions?

24 MR. ADAMO: Excuse me, Mr. Hanson.

25 Could you be clear which edition, first or second?

1 Thank you, Your Honor. I'm sorry.

2 Q (By Mr. Hanson) Second edition.

3 A In the second edition we say that session
4 mechanisms can be built out of authentication
5 mechanisms.

6 Q Thank you.

7 Behind you I believe there is a copy of
8 Plaintiff's Exhibit 1, which is the '314 patent. And if
9 you will look at Figure 1.

10 MR. HANSON: And if you can blow up the
11 figure at the bottom. Thank you.

12 Q (By Mr. Hanson) Mr. Treese, is that an
13 accurate description of the system that's described in
14 the text of -- of the '314 patent?

15 A It's a diagram that reflects some of the
16 aspects of what's described in the text.

17 Q And there is listed there on the upper
18 left-hand side, buyer computer.

19 Is that the customer computer?

20 A That would be correct.

21 Q Then there's shown a network in between.
22 Would that be the connection between the buyer computer
23 and server?

24 A Yes. Usually the internet.

25 Q Then there is then a payment computer. Is --

1 is that a web server that is used to support a shopping
2 mall of some sort?

3 A It's a system that supports the transaction
4 processing, the user information shopping carts.

5 Q And is that also the server that distributes
6 the catalog pages to the buyer computer?

7 A In this -- in this diagram, no, it is not.

8 Q And where are the pages sent -- collected and
9 sent to the buyer computer?

10 A The catalog pages come from the merchant
11 computer, labeled 14.

12 Q Okay. And there is an item 21 that's called
13 the shopping cart database?

14 A That's correct.

15 Q And is that hung off of the payment computer?

16 A Yes, it is, in the diagram.

17 Q And it's not hung off of the buyer computer?

18 A That's correct.

19 MR. HANSON: Pass the witness.

20 Pass the witness.

21 MR. ADAMO: Oh, I'm sorry, I didn't hear
22 you.

23 THE COURT: Cross -- I mean direct exam?

24 MR. ADAMO: Redirect, Your Honor,
25 briefly.

1 CROSS-EXAMINATION

2 BY MR. ADAMO:

3 Q Can you see what I'm holding up, Mr. Treese?

4 A Yes.

5 Q This is the first edition of your book?

6 A That's correct.

7 MR. ADAMO: This is Plaintiff's

8 Exhibit 82, Your Honor. It's in evidence.

9 Q (By Mr. Adamo) Copyright date on this, do you
10 remember what it is?

11 A 1998.

12 Q All right. And is it fair to say that what
13 you put in this book was what you and Dr. Stewart knew
14 about the subject matters discussed as of 1998?

15 A Yes, that's correct.

16 Q And the work that you did with respect to the
17 inventions of the three patents-in-suit was done when?

18 A That was in 1994.

19 Q So this book is written four years after, with
20 four years more knowledge than what you knew at the time
21 you made the invention?

22 A That's correct.

23 Q The other book that you were asked about is
24 the second edition?

25 A That correct.

1 MR. ADAMO: This is P83, which is in
2 evidence, Your Honor.

3 Q (By Mr. Adamo) Do you remember what the
4 copyright date on this was?

5 A I believe that was 2003.

6 Q Was this book, as the first edition was,
7 written with all the knowledge that you and Dr. Stewart
8 had in 2003?

9 A Yes, it was.

10 Q So by this time you were eight to nine years
11 away from when you made the inventions in the
12 patents-in-suit?

13 A That's correct.

14 Q And you have all your information that you
15 gained in that time in this book?

16 A Yes.

17 MR. ADAMO: I have nothing further, Your
18 Honor. Thank you.

19 THE COURT: Uh-huh. All right. Thank
20 you. You may step down.

21 Who will be your next witness?

22 MR. HANSON: Your Honor, we call
23 Mr. Trevor, and he has not been sworn.

24 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Trevor.

25 COURTROOM DEPUTY: Would you raise your

1 right hand, please.

2 (Witness sworn.)

3 ALEXANDER TREVOR, DEFENDANT'S WITNESS, SWORN

4 DIRECT EXAMINATION

5 BY MR. HANSON:

6 Q Good morning, Mr. Trevor. Would you introduce
7 yourself to the jury by stating your full name and
8 address.

9 A I'm Alexander Trevor, and I reside in Florida.

10 Q Mr. Trevor, we have a challenge with the soft
11 voice in this courtroom; and if you can adjust that
12 microphone a little closer, it might work better.

13 A Can you hear me all right now?

14 Q Yes. Yes.

15 A Okay.

16 Q In what year did you receive your first
17 university-level degree?

18 A 1967.

19 Q And what -- and from what school was that?

20 A It was a Bachelor of Science in physics at
21 Yale University.

22 Q Have you had any other college degrees?

23 A Yes, I have a Master of Science in electrical
24 engineering from the University of Arizona.

25 Q And in what years were you at the University

1 of Arizona?

2 A I entered the program in late 1967 and
3 graduated in 1971.

4 Q Was your work at the University of Arizona
5 interrupted?

6 A It was interrupted by military service. It
7 was the time of the Vietnam war.

8 Q And where did you serve and in what capacity?

9 A I served as a lieutenant in the U.S. Army
10 Signal Corps. I spent one year in the Pentagon
11 telecommunications office in Washington, D.C., and a
12 year in MACV Headquarters in Saigon, Vietnam, working in
13 intelligence data processing.

14 Q And what was your first full-time job after
15 completing your work at the University of Arizona?

16 A Systems analyst at CompuServe Network, which
17 was later renamed CompuServe Incorporated.

18 Q What is a systems analyst?

19 A A systems analyst is a kind of software
20 engineer who designs and develops computer programs or
21 software.

22 Q What kinds of computer programs were you
23 writing as a systems analyst?

24 A Primarily system software, things like print
25 spoolers, decompilers, data-communication software.

1 Later I wrote some application software such
2 as CompuServe chat program.

3 Q For how long did you work for CompuServe?

4 A About 25 years.

5 Q So in what year did you leave?

6 A It was in 1996.

7 Q And what was your position when you left
8 CompuServe?

9 A I was executive vice president and chief
10 technical officer. I was responsible for the support
11 services division, which included software development,
12 R&D, operations, engineering, and manufacturing.

13 Q What were the circumstances of your leaving
14 CompuServe.

15 A The new CEO and I disagreed in the level of
16 R&D that was necessary to keep CompuServe competitive.
17 So I decided it would be more interesting to go start my
18 own company.

19 Q Did you maintain a relationship of any sort
20 with CompuServe?

21 A Not initially; but later, in about 2000,
22 CompuServe retained my company, Nuvocom, to do some
23 consulting work.

24 Q Well, tell us a little bit about Nuvocom.
25 What does it do?

1 A Nuvocom does what I call software archeology,
2 which is researching old software.

3 Q And is it with particular emphasis on software
4 that was developed at CompuServe?

5 A Yes. Myself and the people I work with now
6 are all pretty much CompuServe alumni, although we have
7 some people we work with who were with Prodigy.

8 Q At the time you left, where was CompuServe
9 located?

10 A Columbus, Ohio.

11 Q Did it have any other locations?

12 A Yes. It had an R&D center in Tucson, Arizona;
13 branch offices in major cities across the United States
14 as well as in a few foreign countries, U.K., France,
15 Germany; and some affiliates, one in Japan and another
16 in Montreal.

17 Q And please keep your voice up, sir. I'm
18 having a little -- maybe the jury hears you, but I can't
19 hear you.

20 A I will speak a little closer to the mic.
21 Maybe that will help.

22 Q Then we will get that spit sound. I
23 understand.

24 Let's go back in time a little bit. What was
25 the nature of CompuServe's business when you first

1 joined?

2 A It was computer time-sharing, remote computing
3 services for businesses and corporations.

4 Q And can you tell us, really briefly, what
5 computer time-sharing was?

6 A As I say, it was remote computing services for
7 companies. Back then, the computer -- you didn't have
8 the internet, you didn't have personal computers. So
9 the computers that were available were very expensive
10 and very big. So companies, small companies, even big
11 companies, would essentially rent time from companies
12 like CompuServe.

13 Q What physical equipment did CompuServe have to
14 support its time sharing service?

15 A It had servers, which we called host computers
16 at that time; data storage devices; data communications
17 equipment. All of the stuff was much more expensive and
18 much bigger and much less powerful than today's
19 equivalents.

20 Q And was there also network equipment?

21 A Yes. The -- there were modems and network
22 processing computers. Today you call them routers and
23 switches.

24 Q And those were owned by CompuServe and
25 distributed around the world by them?

1 A Well, by -- by say 1993, there were tens of
2 thousands of CompuServe mode computers around the
3 country and around the world as well as leased telephone
4 lines linking them together.

5 Q At some point did the nature of CompuServe's
6 business expand or change?

7 A Yes. In 1978 CompuServe introduced one of the
8 first electronic mail systems for commercial use.
9 In 1979 they introduced a consumer information service
10 called CompuServe Information Service. And then in 1981
11 they opened up their packet network to third-party
12 servers effectively making it a public packet network.

13 Q Did you say that the business changed to
14 involve a consumer information service?

15 A Yes.

16 Q And just what did you mean by that?

17 A It was a set of online services for consumers.
18 In many respects similar to what you can find on the
19 internet today, only without the fancy graphics and
20 multimedia. It didn't support those things in the early
21 days.

22 Q And what were the various services that were
23 provided?

24 A There was a wide spectrum of services. Some
25 of the more popular categories were communications,

1 which included electronic mail, user forums, chat;
2 educational services like an online encyclopedia;
3 financial, you know, including stock prices; news,
4 weather, sports; online games, Dungeons and Dragons and
5 all kinds of fun stuff; shopping, including electronic
6 mall, airline ticketing, and software for downloading.

7 Q Can you give the jury an overview of the
8 electronic mall that you've just described?

9 A Yes. The electronic mall was E-commerce for
10 consumers. Basically you could shop and buy things
11 online. The way you did it was, you needed a personal
12 computer with communication software loaded, and
13 typically a telephone modem, since that's how most
14 people communicated in those days. You would make a
15 dial-up connection to a CompuServe node computer.

16 Sorry about the popping.

17 Once connected to the CompuServe servers, you
18 would be presented with a top-level menu. One of the
19 choices would be the electronic mall or shopping. Make
20 that choice, navigate a few more menus. You'd get to
21 the -- you'd enter the electronic mall. There, you
22 could make a choice of one of a hundred different
23 stores.

24 Select the store you wanted to shop. Enter
25 the store. And there you could browse for the --

1 whatever products that vendor had to offer on line.

2 If --

3 Q If you could break it up a little bit. You
4 say you could -- when you got to the store you could
5 look for various products; is that correct?

6 A Yes.

7 Q And how were the various products presented to
8 you?

9 A They were presented as menus. So, it was a
10 way to search for products. But when you found a
11 product that interested you, you could get a detailed
12 description of the product, and in some cases there was
13 a photograph so you could look at it.

14 If you wanted to purchase it, you would type
15 the order command, which was abbreviated by the letter
16 O, and that would update your electronic shopping cart
17 with the item.

18 And then you could continue to shop, or you
19 could get some more items and put them in your shopping
20 cart, or you could check out from the store, at which
21 point you'd be prompted for your billing information,
22 including a credit card.

23 And you'd also have the opportunity to review
24 your selections in your shopping cart. And you could --
25 if you changed your mind, you could check the whole

1 thing or you could delete individual items.

2 But once you were satisfied that this is the
3 stuff you wanted to buy, then you would acknowledge
4 that, and the host computer would respond with a
5 confirmation code, an order confirmation code.

6 Q Could you purchase more than one product at a
7 time from a vendor or merchant on the mall?

8 A Absolutely. You could purchase -- I think
9 there was a limit of about 40 items that you could put
10 in your shopping cart at one time. Yes, more than one
11 definitely could you go in your shopping cart.

12 Q So you could -- the customer could select up
13 to about 40 items if they wanted -- it sounds like a lot
14 to do on shopping -- but before they checked out; is
15 that what you're telling us?

16 A Before they checked out, they would shop,
17 select items by pressing the order command. They could
18 do that multiple times, each time would update or cause
19 the host computer to update the user's electronic
20 shopping cart with the selection.

21 As I said, there was a limit of about 40. I
22 don't think many people put 40 things in their shopping
23 cart.

24 Q Now, if a menu presented a choice of more than
25 one product, how would the customer select that product?

1 A In the initial version of the electronic mall,
2 which was text oriented, you would look at a menu, and
3 there would be a number beside each menu item. So if
4 you wanted the first book, for example, in the menu, it
5 would be number 1, so you type the number 1 followed by
6 a carriage return. Today they call it enter key.

7 Q When was the CompuServe electronic mall first
8 available?

9 A 1984.

10 Q And by May of 1984 -- now skipping ahead, I
11 guess. By May of 1994, what equipment did CompuServe
12 have?

13 A In May of 1994 CompuServe was supporting over
14 a million and a half customers over a hundred 36-bit
15 host computers or servers, two of which were dedicated
16 to the electronic mall, plus about a hundred 32-bit
17 servers in data storage devices and data communications
18 equipment.

19 Q I think you explained this a little bit, but
20 by 1994 how did personal computer users connect to the
21 CompuServe information service?

22 A Again, you needed a personal computer with
23 communication software installed.

24 At that point in time you could connect your
25 computer to CompuServe through the internet or through

1 several other third-party networks. But typically users
2 would make a dial-up connection to a local CompuServe
3 node computer.

4 In either case, a logical circuit was
5 established between your PC and the CompuServe host
6 computer. And that logical circuit was maintained for
7 the duration of your session on CompuServe.

8 Q Was that circuit a secure circuit?

9 A It was certainly a lot more secure than the
10 connection over the internet. It didn't go through
11 university basements or anything. But it was relatively
12 secure.

13 Q And CompuServe solicited customers' credit
14 card numbers, did they not --

15 A Yes.

16 Q -- over that network?

17 A CompuServe customers transmitted their credit
18 cards over that network, and we never had any trouble
19 with people having their credit cards ripped off, other
20 than social engineering, which existed even back then.

21 You know, on chat somebody would say, hey, I'm
22 CompuServe, give me your credit card. That kind of
23 thing existed even back then.

24 Q Did CompuServe make available to its members
25 and customers software for installation on personal

1 computers so that they could communicate with
2 CompuServe?

3 A Yes. Communication software wasn't as
4 prevalent in the early days as it is now, so CompuServe
5 developed a line of smart terminal software called
6 Vidtex for most of the popular -- most of the popular
7 personal computers at the time. That included the
8 Commodore 64, Ataris, Apple 2s, TRCs, and the IBM PC.
9 The version for the IBM PC was called The Professional
10 Connection.

11 All of those small terminal programs included
12 auto log-on, which meant you didn't have to dial up the
13 number and type all your stuff every time you wanted to
14 log on, cursor addressing, some graphics capabilities,
15 and error-correcting file-transfer protocols.

16 Q Now, you've explained to us about the
17 CompuServe network, but were other networks -- was it
18 possible that other networks could be used for a
19 customer to connect to CompuServe?

20 A Yes. From fairly early on, CompuServe
21 supported international networking standards and
22 interfaced with other public packet networks.
23 Domestically that included networks called Tymnet and
24 Telenet, and internationally Datapack in Canada,
25 TransPack in France, and a number of others. And as I

1 mentioned, by -- certainly by '94 it included the
2 internet as well.

3 Q You better explain that because that's going
4 to -- did you just say the internet by '94?

5 A Yes.

6 Q And how was that accomplished?

7 A It was accomplished via a TELNET -- TELNET
8 protocol connection.

9 Q And not the worldwide web?

10 A No.

11 Q Did you personally use the CompuServe
12 electronic mall to purchase products?

13 A Yes. As part of my responsibility as chief
14 technical officer, I had to be familiar with and test
15 out all of CompuServe's new products. So I tested many
16 aspects of the electronic mall, including selecting a
17 store, checking the proper updating of the electronic
18 shopping cart when an item was added or deleted from the
19 shopping cart, the checkout process. And I personally
20 bought items from the electronic mall using my personal
21 credit card.

22 Q Well, can you tell us what specific
23 responsibilities you had with regard to CompuServe
24 electronic mall prior to May of 1994?

25 A The systems analysts and programmers who

1 developed and maintained the electronic mall reported to
2 me.

3 Q And I believe you already said this, but isn't
4 it the case that the CompuServe computers accumulated
5 and held the customers' product selections prior to
6 checkout?

7 A Prior to checkout, a customer's selections
8 were held in a personal order file or electronic
9 shopping cart that was an in-memory database in the
10 file. It was specific to each customer.

11 Q Now, as a CompuServe -- as CompuServe evolved,
12 it developed different modes of interacting with its
13 members; is that correct?

14 A CompuServe developed a -- as I mentioned
15 earlier, CompuServe was text oriented.

16 Q And that's where I wanted to start. What did
17 you mean by text oriented?

18 A Basically -- I mean, you didn't have any
19 graphics to speak of. What you saw on your screen was
20 text. You interacted by typing on your keyboard and
21 seeing text displayed on your screen.

22 Q I believe that before you is a copy of
23 Defendants' Exhibit 2, which is a book by Messrs. Bowen
24 and Peyton, and it's the fourth edition. Do you have it
25 in front of you?

1 A I do, yes.

2 Q And that book is called How to Get the Most
3 Out of CompuServe.

4 A Correct.

5 Q Do you see the fourth edition at about the
6 time it was published in 1989?

7 A Yes, I did. And I got a personal copy at that
8 time. In fact, it was a copy signed by one of the
9 authors.

10 Q And is that the only edition of the Bowen and
11 Peyton book that you have?

12 A No. I still have copies of the second, third,
13 fourth, and fifth editions. I don't know if I have a
14 first edition.

15 Q Is there any question that the authors had
16 access to CompuServe and CompuServe Mail when they wrote
17 their book?

18 A There's no question. The authors were members
19 of CompuServe. They -- they provided their e-mail
20 addresses, the CompuServe e-mail addresses in the book,
21 and asked readers to communicate with them. And they
22 couldn't received or send e-mail if they didn't maintain
23 a CompuServe account. Plus I know they had access to
24 CompuServe's marketing and technical people.

25 MR. HANSON: I wonder if we could bring

1 up Page 331 of Exhibit 2 and look at the screen shot at
2 the top of the page. Maybe you can blow that one up.

3 Q (By Mr. Hanson) And can you explain to us
4 what the purpose of this screen shot is, or this screen
5 is.

6 A This is a screen that you would see once you
7 the entered the Walden Books online store and worked
8 your way down through book categories until you got down
9 to a choice of two books. At this point you could
10 select either of these two books.

11 Q And how was that selection made?

12 A You would enter the number corresponding to
13 the book you were interested in followed by a carriage
14 return.

15 Q And then what would happen?

16 A And then you'd be -- then a detailed
17 description of the book would be displayed, followed by
18 a prompt for the O command.

19 Q Okay. I think we have another screen that we
20 could show on this page that shows where the -- where
21 the O command is.

22 A Right.

23 Q Is that a representation of a page that would
24 come to the user after making the selection 1 or 2, and
25 would also describe the book that had been selected?

1 A That would be at the bottom of the description
2 page.

3 Q What we're seeing is what would be at the
4 bottom of the description page?

5 A That's correct. Yes.

6 Q The description is not shown, that's what I --

7 A That's correct. The description is not shown.

8 Q Then what would happen when the O -- O command
9 was entered?

10 A Then the electronic shopping cart would be
11 updated with this selection and retained there while the
12 user shopped.

13 Q And how would the CompuServe servers know what
14 product to put in the shopping cart or the personal
15 holding file?

16 A Well, the user had just selected one item
17 before typing the O command, and the host computer
18 remembered that selection.

19 Q Are these screens typical of those that were
20 available in 1989 on the CompuServe Mall?

21 A They were typical of the text or ASCII version
22 of the electronic mall.

23 Q Could the customer change their mind after
24 they had entered the O command?

25 A There were two ways. They could type an exit

1 command, which would have abandoned the entire shopping
2 cart; or, after typing the checkout command, they would
3 be presented with a review screen where they could
4 modify or delete items individually.

5 Q Well, how -- how did you get to where you had
6 to pay? What did you have to do next in order to pay
7 for the goods once you decided to purchase them?

8 A You would type the checkout command. You
9 would be taken to a checkout area, which is where you
10 get a chance to review all the items in your shopping
11 cart. So you'd see the quantity, the description, the
12 price of each item.

13 You could -- at that point you could modify it
14 or accept it. And you would be prompted for billing
15 information, including credit card typically. And then
16 the next thing, after you accepted that you'd get a
17 confirmation number.

18 MR. HANSON: You can take that screen
19 down, please.

20 Q (By Mr. Hanson) Was it necessary for the
21 users to have become members of CompuServe before they
22 could use the CompuServe Mall?

23 A Yes, it was.

24 Q And how did they become members?

25 A Through an online sign-up process.

1 Q And was there a charge of some sort to
2 maintain your membership?

3 A Yes. There was a monthly charge.

4 Q And how did -- how did they CompuServe collect
5 that monthly charge?

6 A In most cases it was done by credit card.

7 Q Was it possible for someone entering into a
8 mall -- mall store or merchant's store to purchase goods
9 and somehow charge it to CompuServe?

10 A Not in the electronic mall, but there were
11 other places in CompuServe where you could do that.

12 Q Now, we've talked about the ASCII version or
13 the text version service that was developed early on.

14 As the serviced developed, did they come out
15 with different modes of interacting with the CompuServe
16 Mall?

17 A CompuServe developed a high-level application
18 protocol in 1989 called HMI, host micro interface.
19 However, the electronic mall was not implemented in HMI
20 until March of 1994.

21 Q Did -- and let's keep -- this is a little bit
22 tricky here, this area we're going into.

23 Did CompuServe provide something called DOSCIM and
24 WINCIM?

25 A Again, back to 1989 when CompuServe developed

1 the HMI protocol, they came out with actually two client
2 programs, communications programs, that implemented that
3 protocol. One was for a Macintosh called Maxim; the
4 other one was called just CompuServe Information Manager
5 for DOS computers. In other words, the DOS operating
6 system.

7 Q Was there also a Windows version of that
8 program?

9 A The Windows version came out in 1993 -- yeah,
10 1993.

11 Q And was the Windows version implemented with
12 the HMI protocol?

13 A Yes. All versions of the CompuServe
14 Information Manager implemented the HMI protocol. They
15 also all supported ASCII.

16 Q So is it -- is it not the case -- well, let me
17 put it this way: How is the -- how -- when did the
18 mall, CompuServe electronic mall, implement HMI -- an
19 HMI version?

20 A It was March and April of 1994 that the mall
21 was in production for customers in HMI -- in HMI
22 version.

23 Q But prior to that time, for example, WINCIM
24 could be used to access CompuServe, but what happened
25 when you went to the mall?

1 A Like I say, in mid-'93 or January of 1994, if
2 you used WINCIM to access CompuServe, and then went to
3 the electronic mall, an ASCII window would open up when
4 you went to the store, and you would interact with that
5 mall store using the ASCII commands that we just talked
6 about.

7 Q Now, before you should be Defendant's Exhibit
8 4, which is the Ellsworth and Ellsworth book. And it's
9 entitled Using CompuServe.

10 Are you familiar with that book?

11 A Yes.

12 Q And when did you first know of that book?

13 A I've had a copy for years and years. I don't
14 know how many.

15 Q Now let's turn to Page 374 of that book.
16 And let's see if we can blow up this screen in the
17 center.

18 Now, can you describe to us what we're seeing
19 here?

20 A That's a screen generated by WINCIM, Windows
21 version of CompuServe Information Manager, and the
22 window -- the smaller window entitled Electronic Mall
23 Shopping is one of the entry windows or entry screens
24 into the -- into the electronic mall. It's actually an
25 entry window into the ASCII version of the electronic

1 mall.

2 Q But this particular screen is an HMI screen;
3 is that correct?

4 A It is an HMI screen, yes.

5 Q Okay. So how would somebody select one of the
6 choices that are presented by that screen?

7 A They would move their cursor over the menu
8 choice they wanted to select and highlight it by a mouse
9 click. And then to make their selection, they could
10 either double-click on that or they could go to the
11 select button and push that. And that would cause a
12 message to be sent, an HMI protocol message that would
13 contain the selection to be sent to the host computer.

14 Q And so there's a little bit -- a little
15 description there called CIS shopping; is that right?

16 A Yes. That's the address you could enter into
17 a go command. One of the ways to move around in
18 CompuServe quickly in the ASCII version was to type a
19 page address, much like you -- if you know a URL of a
20 website, you can type in the URL. On CompuServe you
21 could type in the page name and go directly.

22 Q There's a little icon at the top of the screen
23 next to the words The Electronic Mall/Shopping. What is
24 that icon?

25 A Well, there's a shopping cart icon.

1 Q Did something happen when you clicked that?

2 A No.

3 Q Now, I think we ought to describe some of the
4 other columns on this -- the rows on this page so we
5 understand.

6 But the top row has the words CompuServe
7 Information Manager. What do you call that row? The
8 title bar?

9 A That's the Windows title bar.

10 Q And how is that title bar generated?

11 A Well, it's partly a function of the version of
12 Windows that you're using, some of the details, like
13 what the buttons look like, depending upon whether
14 you're running Windows 3.0 or Windows 2000 or XP, the
15 text comes from the WINCIM.

16 Q Okay. And the next ribbon or row, what's that
17 all about?

18 A Well, it's a textual menu bar.

19 Q And that's just standard on it?

20 A Yes. That's standard.

21 Then following that are a bunch of icons; it
22 was called a ribbon. And those buttons invoked
23 particular functions in WINCIM, such as the go command
24 or access to your e-mail filing cabinet, for example.

25 Q Were those buttons always the same?

1 A No. WINCIM gave the user the ability to
2 customize that the ribbon.

3 Q Well, let's step forward a page.

4 MR. HANSON: Can we blow up the top?

5 Q (By Mr. Hanson) Is this a different screen
6 than we just saw? If you look at the monitor, you may
7 be able to --

8 A Yes, it's a different screen.

9 Q And is this the screen you would have come to
10 if you made the right selection and clicked select on
11 the previous page?

12 A My book is missing Page 375.

13 Yes. That is the -- Page 375, Figure 15.9 is the next
14 screen you see after selecting electronic mall.

15 Q And you could step through screens in some
16 manner as you've just described?

17 A You -- you could select through HMI screen
18 using the procedure that I described, yes.

19 Q Now, is it apparent to you the status of the
20 CompuServe Mall at the time this book was written
21 relative to whether or not the mall had implemented HMI
22 protocol?

23 A My understanding is this book was published in
24 March of 1994. HMI version of the electronic mall was
25 in beta at that time but not in production.

1 Q So the rest of the description, with regard to
2 the electronic mall in this book, is the mall is
3 implemented using the ASCII version in a window; is that
4 correct?

5 A That's correct. Once you proceeded actually
6 into the mall store, an ASCII window would be opened,
7 and you would interact with the ASCII command.

8 Q So the shopping experience, then, would be
9 substantially the same as you described with regard to
10 the Bowen and Peyton book?

11 A Yes, it would.

12 Q Now, at some point, did the electronic mall
13 implement the ASCII protocol and the customers -- and
14 the merchants also implement themselves under the HMI
15 protocol?

16 A Could you repeat that question, please?

17 Q Yes. At some point, was one able to purchase
18 products on the CompuServe Mall clicking select buttons
19 and no longer entering ASCII commands?

20 A Yes.

21 After April 9th, 1994, there were a number of
22 mall stores that were implemented into the HMI
23 electronic mall. And in those stores, you would
24 navigate using the selection process of highlighting and
25 clicking with a mouse that we described.

1 Q And that's kind of similar to what you see on
2 the internet today?

3 A It's similar to using an internet browser.

4 Q So it was necessary for merchants that had
5 stores on the CompuServe electronic mall to modify their
6 stores once the mall was implemented in HMI, with the
7 HMI protocol; is that the right understanding?

8 A Well, the merchants didn't have to do that.

9 They could continue to offer their stores in a
10 text mode.

11 But to be available in the HMI mode, the
12 conversion has to be made. And typically that was done
13 by a CompuServe staff rather than by the merchants
14 themselves.

15 Q Did CompuServe make what might be called a
16 computer screen video to illustrate purchase on the --

17 MR. HANSON: And don't bring it up yet,
18 please.

19 Q (By Mr. Hanson) -- on the electronic mall
20 totally implemented with the HMI protocol?

21 A Yes, they did.

22 Q And can you describe that video that we're
23 going to show in a minute? It's not a movie of an
24 actual purchase, is it?

25 A No. What it is, it's a set of screen shots of

1 several different sessions. They were put together to
2 illustrate what the HMI mall looked like.

3 Q Okay. We're going to play this, and we can
4 stop it at each screen and talk about each screen. And
5 there's a sound to this video, but we're going to make
6 every attempt to turn the sound off when it comes up so
7 that the witness can describe the screens.

8 Now, this is the first screen. Can you tell
9 us when this video was prepared, this demonstration
10 video was prepared?

11 A I mean, I have a copy of it on a CD. I'm kind
12 of a pack rat and I kept my CompuServe CD, pilot edition
13 CD from when I worked at CompuServe. And this movie is
14 on there, and it has a date of May 5th, 1994.

15 Q Okay. Can you look at the monitor in front of
16 you and tell us what we're seeing?

17 A That's a Windows -- WINCIM screen with no --
18 no sub-windows on it.

19 Q Say again, sir?

20 A There's no sub-windows. It's just the main
21 window.

22 Q So how would somebody use this screen?

23 A They would have to either select one of the
24 commands on the text file that you file through help
25 line or push one of the buttons on the ribbon to execute

1 a function.

2 Q And can you explain the meaning of the various
3 buttons on the ribbon?

4 A Okay. The first button is help. The next one
5 with the little heart is the favorite places. The one
6 with the magnifying -- the one with the magnifying glass
7 is search.

8 The next one invokes a web browser.

9 The next one is the go command, a little
10 traffic light. Then the stock prices get you stock
11 quotes.

12 Next is the weather, your local weather.

13 Next is your e-mail inbox, and you can see
14 this guy's got something in the e-mail inbox because
15 it's highlighted.

16 The next one is the outbox. There's nothing
17 there because it's kind of grayed out.

18 Next is the filing cabinet, your e-mail filing
19 cabinet.

20 Then I think the next one is a glossary. I'm
21 not absolutely positive.

22 And then the exit command to exit from WINCIM.

23 Q I neglected to say that this video that we're
24 looking at step by step is Defendants' Exhibit 157.
25 Now, let's go on to the next screen, if we can.

1 A Okay. Here the user has moved the mouse over
2 the browser select button. And anybody that you hover
3 over would be highlighted. I don't know why.

4 Q Doesn't make sense?

5 A No.

6 Q Could it be that they were moving on their way
7 to the go button?

8 A It could be.

9 Q Okay. If the go button was pressed, what
10 happened? What happened?

11 A Then a go window would pop up.

12 Q So let's bring the next screen up and see what
13 happens.

14 A All right. This is the go window, and the
15 user has entered already the letters m-g-o, which was
16 the go word for the HMI version of the electronic mall
17 at this point in time.
18 Remember, this is very new, and not everything is in the
19 HMI version yet. So people have to be able to get to
20 the ASCII version as well as the HMI version.

21 Q So what would they do? They have some choices
22 there: Okay, cancel, and help.

23 A Well, if they wanted to actually go to that
24 page, what they would press is the okay button.
25 Otherwise, they could cancel out. If they were totally

1 baffled, they could press help.

2 Q All right. Let's assume they click the okay
3 button, and let's go on to the next screen. What are we
4 seeing here?

5 A So this is the -- the first sub-menu you were
6 looking at was the entry point to the HMI version of the
7 electronic mall. Obviously, at an early point, there
8 are only eight stores in there.

9 At the point that that screen was taken, the
10 user has already made a selection by highlighting the --
11 they had highlighted the Metropolitan Museum of Art
12 store. They had not actually pressed the select button.
13 The screen we're looking at now, they pressed the select
14 button on the first screen and brought up the
15 Metropolitan Museum Taipei.

16 Q So I guess what you're telling us, in order to
17 get to this window that's in the lower right, they had
18 to interact with the window -- previously interact with
19 the window in the upper left?

20 A That's right.

21 Q All right. Now, what would somebody do here?

22 A Well, they have several choices, but if you
23 wanted to start searching for products, they would
24 select this quick-search function, then press the select
25 button.

1 Q Now, I see there's a little icon up in the
2 corner that looks like some sort of a disconnect between
3 the plug and a receptacle. What's that all about?

4 A When you are online -- perhaps you remember
5 from the first screen, that symbol was not there. That
6 comes up when you're connected. And if you press it, it
7 causes you to get disconnected.

8 Q It's not an indication that they're
9 disconnected; it's just that that's a way you could
10 disconnect?

11 A That's correct.

12 And if you look over toward the left of the
13 ribbon, between the yellow question mark and the -- I
14 don't know what color that is, the heart, it says
15 connected and 258. So that's an indication that you
16 were online and the amount of time that you were
17 connected in this session.

18 Q So let's move on to the shopping experience.
19 It looks like this demonstration suggests the clicking
20 product quick-search; is that correct?

21 A Yes. They have highlighted quick-search, and
22 they are pressing select at this point.

23 Q All right. Let's go on to the next screen --
24 or we stay right here, don't we? We get a third window
25 right in front of us.

1 A This illustrates one of the big advantages of
2 the HMI mall.

3 In the ASCII version, the text version, you
4 had to remember commands. Here, you can actually see
5 several windows at once, and the commands are right in
6 front of you. You just push a button.

7 In this case, we're going to do a search for
8 something we want to buy. So looking for a pendant, and
9 you type the word pendant into the box, and then press
10 the okay button.

11 Q Okay. They're searching for a pendant. Let's
12 see what happens next. I guess we advance one screen.

13 A Okay. So, apparently, in this store, there's
14 more than one pendant. In the top line, it says, search
15 results, six records. And so the six different kinds of
16 pendants are displayed in that window.
17 The user has highlighted Russian cross pendant. And you
18 can see the blue line there highlighting it.

19 Q So if they selected that, what would happen?

20 A When they press the select button, a
21 description of the item should come up.

22 Okay. So there's a description. And you will notice
23 that there are several buttons along the right side.
24 One of them is -- well, the first one's order. There's
25 also one that says view item.

1 You could also, from this screen, navigate through the
2 different pendant choices by pressing previous item or
3 next item, or you can cancel out.

4 Q Okay. So now --

5 A So the user -- there is also a prompt for
6 quantity. If you're interested in this, you would enter
7 the number you want to buy.

8 Q The quantity?

9 A Yes.

10 Q Oh, okay. So I've got a wife and a daughter
11 and two granddaughters; I should buy four.

12 A Exactly.

13 Q All right. But this person just bought one.

14 So what happens -- what happens when the order
15 button is pressed?

16 A Then the -- the selection, including the
17 quantity, would be placed in the user's electronic
18 shopping cart.

19 But this next screen that we're looking at is
20 not what you would see after pushing the order button;
21 this is what you would see after pressing the view item
22 button.

23 Q Okay.

24 A And in this video or slide show, if you want
25 to call it that, they're going to show images of several

1 different items, some of which -- these two are from the
2 Metropolitan Museum of Art store. At least one of them
3 comes from an entirely different store. You don't get
4 oranges from the museum.

5 THE COURT: Counsel, when you get to a
6 stopping point, let's take our afternoon break.

7 MR. HANSON: Okay. Ten minutes or we can
8 take a break now.

9 THE COURT: That's fine.

10 MR. HANSON: Okay. I think I can do it
11 in ten minutes.

12 Q (By Mr. Hanson) So I guess I can step back a
13 screen.

14 A So, hopefully, here they're going to actually
15 press the order button.

16 Q Press the order button. All right.

17 A So that brings up the order form where you
18 can -- you could add more items, change it, cancel it,
19 or proceed.

20 Q There's just one item here --

21 A Yes.

22 Q -- on this screen. But the customer, they
23 could have ordered some more items after they saw the
24 first one; isn't that correct?

25 A By pressing the add button, they could add

1 more items to their shopping cart.

2 Q They could do it right here; they could add
3 another item.

4 A They could here, yes.

5 Q They still have a chance. And there's a
6 change button there. What does the change do?

7 A Well, if you want to go back and you forgot
8 your mother and cousins --

9 Q All right.

10 A -- and want to add some more Russian cross
11 pendants, you can go back there and change the quantity.

12 Q Okay. And you could delete it, and you can
13 cancel the order?

14 A Right.

15 Q I suppose delete is if you wanted to shop some
16 more; cancel the order; you just wanted to go home?

17 A Supposing you had several items in here. The
18 delete would delete a single item.

19 Q Uh-huh.

20 A And the cancel order would dump the whole
21 thing.

22 Q I don't know whether there are any more
23 screens. Let's step forward and see if there's any more
24 screens.

25 A There are some more screens.

1 Q There aren't anymore?

2 A There are more.

3 Q Okay. The delay here is that we have to let
4 the video play. There we go.

5 So what's this screen all about?

6 A One more chance to make changes. And the
7 previous screen had a checkout button.

8 Q Yes.

9 A So if you're ready to check out, you press
10 that, and now you would be prompted for billing
11 information.

12 First thing is what country, because billing
13 information changes depending upon what country. U.S.,
14 Japan, and the U.K. have different addresses, and so on.

15 Q Okay.

16 A These are collecting billing information. You
17 can see, again, this is a slide show because -- none of
18 the data is filled in. It's just a blank screen, and
19 then you move to the next screen.

20 Q Right.

21 A In reality, you would have to put in your
22 name, address, and all that stuff before you could move
23 to the next screen.

24 Q Now, we explained at the start that this is
25 not a movie of somebody actually purchasing the stuff.

1 A Right. Right.

2 Q All right.

3 A Then here they ask for your shipping address.

4 And, you know, just like online stores today,
5 you have the option of shipping to your billing address
6 or optionally entering a different address.

7 Q Moving on?

8 A Okay. That's as far as the movie goes.

9 Q That's as far as it goes.

10 A If you actually completed this, you would get
11 a confirmation number.

12 Q So did this slide show accurately depict your
13 understanding of how would one purchase a product on the
14 CompuServe electronic mall when it was implemented in
15 HMI and the merchant store was implemented in HMI?

16 A Yes. It's accurate but incomplete. As we've
17 discussed going through the movie, it doesn't show
18 actually filling out the -- some of the screens.

19 Q Did you ever visit Open Market in Cambridge,
20 Massachusetts?

21 A Yes, I did.

22 Q And when was that?

23 A That was in September 1994.

24 Q And how did that come about?

25 A At the suggestion of a good friend of mine,

1 who was one of the venture capital investors in Open
2 Market.

3 Q And what was the purpose of the visit?

4 A I wanted to see if there was any technology
5 that might be of help to CompuServe. And, obviously,
6 they wanted to sell me on their product.

7 Q And who did you meet while you were there?

8 A I met Shikhar Ghosh, David Gifford, and Larry
9 Stewart. Maybe some others. I don't recall.

10 Q And did they demonstrate anything to you while
11 they were there?

12 A Yes, I got the demo.

13 Q And can you describe that demo relative to
14 what we've just seen with regard to the HMI mall?

15 A I don't really remember any details of the
16 demo.

17 Q If you have the Ellsworth and Ellsworth book
18 in front of you, do you? Can you -- can you look in
19 there and tell us what the publication date is? This is
20 Exhibit 156.

21 A It says copyright 1994. It says copyright
22 1994.

23 Q Thank you. And I misspoke before when I said
24 the video was 150 -- was 157. It's actually 156.

25 MR. HANSON: I pass the witness.

1 THE COURT: All right. We will take our
2 afternoon break at this time.

3 Ladies and Gentlemen of the Jury, we will
4 be in recess until 10 minutes after 3:00. Please
5 remember my instructions.

6 COURT SECURITY OFFICER: All rise.

7 (Jury out.)

8 (Recess.)

9 (Jury in.)

10 COURT SECURITY OFFICER: All rise.

11 THE COURT: Please be seated.

12 All right, Mr. Giannetti, you may
13 proceed.

14 MR. GIANNETTI: Thank you, Your Honor.

15 CROSS-EXAMINATION

16 BY MR. GIANNETTI:

17 Q Good afternoon, Mr. Trevor.

18 A Good afternoon, Mr. Giannetti.

19 Q We meet again.

20 Mr. Trevor, on direct examination, you
21 described your occupation as a software archeologist?

22 A Yes, sir.

23 Q And I believe you said that you research prior
24 work in the software field; is that correct?

25 A Yes.

1 Q You didn't mention what use is made of your
2 research.

3 Is litigation a main use of the research that
4 you -- that you do in the software field?

5 A I've worked for clients that are sometimes
6 trying to defend themselves from litigation or
7 prevent -- prevent litigation.

8 Q This isn't the first time that you've been
9 involved in patent cases, is it?

10 A No, it's not.

11 Q In fact, you have been involved in a number of
12 cases over the last few years; isn't that true?

13 A I've been involved in a few.

14 Q Well, let's -- let's mention a few of them
15 just to put them in the record.

16 You testified at a deposition in a case called
17 Amazon versus Barnes & Noble; is that correct?

18 A Yes.

19 Q And, in that case, Barnes & Noble was opposing
20 a patent that was owned by Amazon; is that correct?

21 A Yes.

22 Q And then CompuServe was the subject of your
23 testimony in that case?

24 A It was.

25 Q And you were -- you were appearing on behalf

1 of the party opposing the patent in that case; is that
2 right?

3 A I was appearing on behalf of
4 barnesandnoble.com.

5 Q In another case Civix versus Travelscape, you
6 appeared in that case, also?

7 A Yes.

8 Q And you were again on the side of the party
9 that was opposing the patent in that case?

10 A Yes.

11 Q You testified about CompuServe art again in
12 that case?

13 A That's right.

14 Q You also testified at deposition in a case
15 called St. Clair versus Sony; is that correct?

16 A Correct.

17 Q You were working for Sony in that case?

18 A I was.

19 Q And, in fact, you filed an expert report in
20 that case; isn't that true?

21 A Yes.

22 Q And again, the art that you testified about in
23 that case was CompuServe?

24 A Yes.

25 And then there's the case of Soverain versus

1 Amazon.com involving my client in this case, Soverain.

2 You testified at a deposition in that case,
3 didn't you?

4 A As I recall, I did, yes.

5 Q In fact, you testified as an expert in that
6 case on behalf of Amazon; is that right?

7 A That's right. And I believe I gave a
8 demonstration of CompuServe technology as part of
9 that.

10 Q That's right. And in that case you were
11 opposing two of the three patents that are involved in
12 this case; isn't that so?

13 A I don't recall the patent numbers, no.

14 Q And again, the subject matter of your
15 testimony was CompuServe; is that right?

16 A I think to a large extent it was.

17 Q So on at least four occasions prior to this
18 case, you've testified about CompuServe on behalf of
19 parties opposing the validity of patents; isn't that
20 true?

21 A Yes. And it's not too surprising, since
22 CompuServe was a pioneer in many of the online and
23 E-commerce fields.

24 Q In none of those cases did a judgment issue
25 validating the patents due to CompuServe; isn't that

1 true?

2 A Say that again.

3 Q In none of those cases -- none of those cases
4 resulted in a judgment holding a patent invalid based on
5 CompuServe?

6 A Well, you know, I don't -- I didn't follow the
7 cases after, you know, my expert reports or testimony,
8 so I don't know how they all turned out.

9 Q So nobody -- nobody filled you in as to
10 whether your side won or not?

11 A As I recall, on the Amazon case, that that was
12 ultimately -- it was appealed and the appeal came out in
13 favor of Barnes & Noble. I could be wrong, but that's
14 my recollection.

15 Q Let's talk about CompuServe, okay?

16 CompuServe, I think you said it was a system
17 where you had to dial up; isn't that correct, at least
18 prior to 1994?

19 A In the early '70s, I mean basically there was
20 no other choice but dial-up.

21 In later years, some CompuServe users were
22 directly connected to CompuServe modes, so a dial-up
23 connection wasn't necessary.

24 Q But in the -- in the time period of 1994, it
25 was necessary to dial up CompuServe for most users.

1 That was the most common way of doing it, wasn't it?

2 A It was the most common way.

3 Q So you needed a telephone line and a modem?

4 A Yes.

5 Q And a modem was a piece of equipment that
6 allowed your computer to communicate with a computer at
7 the other end through the analog telephone lines; is
8 that right?

9 A That's correct.

10 Q It changed the digital technology -- it
11 allowed the digital technology of the computers at
12 either end of the line to communicate through ordinary
13 telephone lines. Is that a good description?

14 A That's right. And that link was between the
15 personal computer and a nearby network entry point, such
16 as a CompuServe network node.

17 Q All right. And for some people, it required
18 making a long distance phone call, didn't it?

19 A Well, for very few, because, by that point in
20 time, CompuServe network covered probably over 85
21 percent of the population in the United States, and a
22 good part of the rest was covered by supplemental
23 networks like Tymnet and Telenet.

24 Q But the few who didn't have a number -- a
25 local number that you could call, you would incur long

1 distance charges; isn't that right?

2 A CompuServe also had an 800 number you could
3 call. There was a surcharge related to that.

4 Q Okay. But in any event, you did also have to
5 pay a monthly fee. Wasn't that the usual deal for
6 people, that in order to have access to the CompuServe
7 service, you paid a monthly fee?

8 A In -- in 1994, yes, a monthly fee. But part
9 of that, it was an hourly fee, in the earlier days of
10 CompuServe, an hourly fee.

11 Q And it was also a closed system in the sense
12 that you had to have a user ID, a password in order to
13 access the system?

14 A You had to have a user ID and password to
15 access the system, that's true, just like you need
16 access credentials to get on ISP on the internet.

17 Q But to get on the internet today, if I wanted
18 to browse websites on the internet, I would not need a
19 user ID or password, would I?

20 A You would need to have a relationship with
21 some ISP, either a free one or paid one. If you're in
22 your home you would probably have to pay for it.

23 Q CompuServe called its users members; isn't
24 that right?

25 A Yes.

1 Q And by that, it meant that they paid a monthly
2 fee, they got a user ID and a password, and they had
3 access to CompuServe services such as the electronic
4 mall that you were testifying about?

5 A Yes.

6 Q Now, you mentioned that at some point
7 CompuServe was on the internet around 1994?

8 A Yes.

9 Q And I think you were careful to distinguish
10 between the internet and the worldwide web because there
11 is a difference; isn't there?

12 A Well, the worldwide web application layer
13 protocol up here called http, TELNET is a different
14 protocol. But in early '94, there weren't very many
15 websites. Maybe a few hundred. And TELNET was an
16 important protocol.

17 Q TELNET was a different protocol or application
18 on the internet from the worldwide web; isn't that
19 true?

20 A Yes, it was, and still is.

21 Q And it was a text-based system. Didn't look
22 like the fancy graphics that you see on the worldwide
23 web today; do -- did it?

24 A You could transmit different kinds of data on
25 TELNET, but the most common use for it was text-oriented

1 terminal mode data, as you described it.

2 Q So when you said that CompuServe was on the
3 internet in -- in '94, it was not on the worldwide web,
4 it was accessible through this text-based TELNET system
5 that you're talking about; isn't that true?

6 A That's correct. But you could use WINCIM in
7 HMI mode through the internet. As far as the users were
8 concerned, they didn't see TELNET. It was just another
9 way to connect to CompuServe.

10 Q But it wasn't on the web. I just want to make
11 sure that it's absolutely clear that it was not on the
12 web?

13 A No.

14 Q Now, you talked about various versions of
15 CompuServe; is that right? You had a -- an early
16 version that was the ASCII version, A-S-C-I-I version?

17 A Yes.

18 Q Okay. And that was the one that you showed on
19 the screen from the Bowen and Peyton book with the
20 menus. Do you recall that?

21 A Yes.

22 Q Didn't have hypertext links or couldn't click
23 on things to get information from CompuServe; is that
24 right? In other words, the way it's communicating with
25 CompuServe was through menus?

1 A That's correct. And even later, it was
2 through menus, too, only a different user interface. It
3 was a graphical interface, much like a browser.

4 Q So there was a second version you talked about
5 using something called a WINCIM. That was the HMI
6 version; is that right?

7 A HMI protocol was a more advanced user
8 interface to CompuServe, and it required that you have a
9 client that -- well, communication software that was
10 compatible with that protocol, and CompuServe had such
11 clients such as Macintosh, Windows and DOS, and some
12 third-party-made communication software using HMI. So
13 there were a number -- number of communications programs
14 that enabled you to view CompuServe and HM -- HMI
15 mode.

16 Q HMI mode is different than http; isn't that
17 true?

18 A It's a different protocol, but it has some of
19 the same look and feel to it.

20 Q But it's different?

21 A It's different, yes.

22 Q Okay. And -- and the HMI protocol, or a
23 computer that was able to handle the HMI protocol, would
24 not necessarily be able to render an http display or
25 page; isn't that true?

1 In other words, if you had a program that was
2 designed for HMI, you could not display http; is that
3 right?

4 A Well, I mean, a good example is WINCIM that we
5 were just looking at. And when we went through the
6 buttons on the ribbon, one of the buttons would invoke a
7 browser. So the browser could interpret http and would
8 integrate it in with WINCIM. Okay.

9 And I'm not saying that WINCIM itself could
10 interpret it. But there were two different protocols:
11 http, HMI. And WINCIM 1.2, which we are looking at,
12 they were integrated together.

13 Q What you're describing is a situation where a
14 button on the WINCIM screen would send you to a
15 different piece of software, to a browser that was
16 designed to render or to display http pages. Isn't that
17 what you're describing?

18 A Yes. But the browser was integrated with
19 WINCIM. So it wasn't like, you know, something else
20 came up over here in an entirely different program. It
21 came up within the window.

22 As far as the user is concerned, it was just
23 like another WINCIM window. The way it works is a
24 multiple window.

25 Q But to programmers, it was a different

1 protocol; isn't that right?

2 A To programmers it was a different protocol,
3 sure.

4 Q I'd like you to take a look -- you testified
5 about three books that -- I think you have them in the
6 binder that's before you. One is the Bowen and Peyton
7 book. That's Exhibit 2. The second is the Campbell
8 book, which Exhibit 3. I don't think you mentioned the
9 Campbell book, so let's just -- let's just concentrate
10 on Exhibit 2 and Exhibit 4.

11 A Okay.

12 Q And let me ask you some general questions
13 about those books, and then maybe we'll get into the
14 specifics.

15 First of all, you're not the author of those
16 books; is that right?

17 A No, I'm not.

18 Q No one at CompuServe is the author of those
19 books; isn't that right?

20 A I don't -- I'm not really familiar with the
21 Campbell book; but, you know, I've never heard of him
22 before, the book, so I don't think he was a CompuServe
23 employee.

24 Q All right. To your knowledge, none of the
25 authors of those three books that you testified -- or

1 the two that you testified about, or the Campbell book,
2 either the Bowen and Peyton, the Campbell or the
3 Ellsworth book, they're not CompuServe people, are
4 they?

5 A You are correct, they are not CompuServe
6 people, to the best of of my knowledge.

7 Q And these are books that are really intended
8 and directed at CompuServe users; isn't that true?

9 A They're intended, as best I can tell, for
10 CompuServe users or potential CompuServe users.

11 Q Okay. I will accept that.

12 In 19 -- in the 1990s or '89, when the Bowen
13 and Peyton book was written, a computer -- personal
14 computers were still a pretty new thing, and services
15 like CompuServe were a fairly new development, weren't
16 they?

17 A That's true.

18 Q Would you say that these books are written on
19 a pretty basic level?

20 A Yes. They're not -- they're not designed for
21 implementers or computer software applications.

22 Q And they're not designed for the people that
23 would be called upon to develop a system like
24 CompuServe, are they?

25 A No.

1 Q Now, let's turn to the Bowen and Peyton book,
2 if you will. That's Exhibit 4. And I believe you went
3 through some of the -- some of the screens on that.

4 A It's Exhibit 2?

5 Q It's on the screen there.

6 Now, in your -- in your testimony you used a
7 term shopping cart a couple of times.

8 A Yes.

9 Q In fact, more than a couple of times.

10 Now, do you see that term used in the Bowen
11 and Peyton book?

12 A No. But it was used internally at CompuServe
13 and in numerous publications.

14 Q Okay. Have -- have you seen it in any
15 document that you've looked at in connection with your
16 testimony today, the term shopping cart?

17 A Not in any of these three books.

18 Q Okay. And have you seen anything in these
19 books, either the Campbell book or the Peyton book --
20 Bowen and Peyton book or the Ellsworth book that would
21 teach somebody how to implement the shopping cart? And
22 by implement, you know I'm talking about, you know,
23 writing software and doing things that programmers need
24 to do to implement this.

25 A These books address the -- primarily the user

1 interface. But Bowen and Peyton, for example on 321,
2 they talked about the function of the order command.
3 And they say this is a common prompt in the electronic
4 mall. If you want to order products you have to simply
5 enter 0 and the system knows it.

6 Okay. So what does knows it mean? It means
7 the host computer has to make a record of it. You know,
8 any programmer knows that that means you got to write it
9 someplace. It doesn't give the name of the file, but a
10 programmer wouldn't need that in order to understand
11 what's involved in that function.

12 Q Is there any description in that book of the
13 software that would be needed to implement that, any
14 description in there?

15 A As I said, this is a description from the
16 user's point of view.

17 But software engineers have reversed engineered
18 applications on less than this.

19 Q The answer is, it's not there; is that
20 correct?

21 A This is -- there's no specific implementation
22 information in these books.

23 Q That was my question. Thank you.

24 Now, when that order button is pushed, in the
25 example that you went through, I take it a message is

1 sent from the client to the host to the server; is that
2 right?

3 A Yes.

4 Q Is that what would happen?

5 Is there any description in those books of the
6 format or how that message would be put together, for
7 better -- lack of a better word? I'm looking for some
8 technical description of what that message would be in
9 those three books.

10 A All the pages describe the O command. Anybody
11 with a little technical knowledge knows that.

12 Q That's not my question. My question is, is it
13 in the book?

14 A It says enter the O command.

15 Q It doesn't say what happens, what messages go
16 from the client to the server when you push that button
17 and when you enter that command, does it?

18 A It doesn't say that, no.

19 Q Now, you also in your testimony, I think you
20 dropped the word database maybe a couple of times; is
21 that right?

22 A I think I did.

23 Q Okay. Where in those books is there a
24 description of how that database would be implemented?

25 A As I said, these books don't -- are not

1 implementation guides. I have, you know, personal
2 knowledge of how the system worked.

3 Q So, in other words, it's not in there?

4 A But anybody is going to know if you type a
5 command --

6 Q It's not in there, is it?

7 A But if you type a command on the terminal
8 program, it's connected to a server, it's going to go to
9 the server.

10 Q It doesn't tell you what that message is, does
11 it?

12 A It tells you it's the letter O.

13 Q And is that all the message is, is the letter
14 O?

15 A The code for the letter O followed by a
16 carriage return.

17 MR. GIANNETTI: Would you pull up the
18 slide?

19 Q (By Mr. Giannetti) I am going to put a slide
20 up and I'm going to...

21 Okay. So now this is a slide from your
22 presentation and this is a point -- can you see this on
23 your upon for

24 A Yes.

25 Q This is a point you went through that

1 transaction, this is the moment of truth with regard to
2 the product; isn't that true?

3 A Now we're looking at the HMI version.

4 Q Right.

5 A Okay. And at this point, when you press the
6 order button, that's when it sends an HMI message to the
7 host computer, which causes it to update the personal
8 order file or the shopping cart.

9 Q So in the ASCII version, it just sends the
10 character O?

11 A Right.

12 Q Without anything else?

13 A Well, with a carriage return.

14 Q With a carriage return.

15 Now, the HMI version, you're saying the
16 message is a little bit different? Is it just the
17 letter O?

18 A No. It's an HMI packet.

19 Q Where is that packet described in any of those
20 manuals that you testified about?

21 A It's not -- none of these are
22 implementation -- implementation guides.

23 Q Now, at this point in the ordering process,
24 there's just one product that's being displayed on the
25 screen in the CompuServe electronic mall system; isn't

1 that right?

2 A Well, at this point we've already selected a
3 product from a list, and what we're looking at is a
4 detailed description of a single product and we're being
5 given the opportunity to order it and enter a
6 quantity.

7 Q Okay. And this is the HMI version of
8 the system; is that right?

9 A Yes.

10 Q Was it the same in the ASCII version, where
11 there was one product that was selected when you hit the
12 the order button, or I guess typed the command O or
13 entered the -- I guess in the -- in the -- just to make
14 it clear.

15 In the ASCII version, you entered the
16 character O; is that right, from the keyboard?

17 A That's right.

18 Q Or you could select order from a menu?

19 A I don't think so.

20 Q Okay. You had to enter from the keyboard. It
21 was not a point-and-click system like the HMI version;
22 is that right?

23 A That's correct. There were a lot of computers
24 that were running, in the early days, didn't have mice,
25 for example.

1 Q But in both systems at the time that you made
2 the decision to order and indicated it either by typing
3 in an O or clicking on the order button, it's only one
4 product; is that right? One product's been selected?

5 A That's right, yes.

6 Q So at that point, there would be no need to
7 include, in the message that went between the client and
8 the server, information about what product was being
9 selected; isn't that true?

10 A In a previous message, though, in a previous
11 message the user has selected the product.

12 Q But for that message, there's no need, is
13 there?

14 A For the order command, there's no need to
15 select or provide information, because the product has
16 already been selected. We're only talking about one
17 product at this I point in time.

18 Q So the message that goes from the client to
19 the host or the server, in each case, when an order is
20 placed by either clicking on the button or entering the
21 O, did not contain an identification of the product in
22 either system; isn't that right?

23 A That's correct.

24 Q Now, you mentioned a personal holding file
25 that's mentioned in one of these documents. I think

1 it's the Ellsworth document, is it? I thought I marked
2 it in my copy. It's 376.

3 A Page 376. At the bottom of the second
4 paragraph?

5 Q Yes.

6 A Your order will be stored in a personal
7 holding file until you leave the merchant's store. Is
8 that what you're referring to?

9 Q That's what I'm referring to, right.

10 MR. GIANNETTI: Put that up on the
11 screen.

12 Q (By Mr. Giannetti) Do you know which paragraph
13 it's in? I'm looking for it.

14 A Yeah. It's the second paragraph on Page
15 376.

16 Q Yeah.

17 MR. GIANNETTI: Would you highlight the
18 second paragraph?

19 Q (By Mr. Giannetti) So this tells you what
20 happens when the order command is entered, that an order
21 is stored in something called a personal holding file
22 here?

23 A Personal holding file, personal order file.

24 Q Okay. And you equate that with a shopping
25 cart; is that right?

1 A Not just me, but CompuServe, simple ease, and
2 people have read articles, yes.

3 Q So where in this document does it explain how
4 that would be implemented?

5 A This document doesn't address
6 implementation.

7 Q Now, there was a time when the CompuServe
8 system did move to the internet, isn't that true, to the
9 worldwide web?

10 A CompuServe integrated some web pages into its
11 service. Most of that happened after I left.

12 Q Do you recall the announcement -- the date of
13 the announcement that CompuServe was going to make a
14 move to the worldwide web?

15 A I don't.

16 MR. GIANNETTI: Could you put up
17 Exhibit 51?

18 Q (By Mr. Giannetti) Okay. Does this document
19 refresh your recollection in any way about when that
20 announcement occurred, that it was in May of 1996?

21 A Well, I don't remember the exact date that I
22 left CompuServe, but it was in May of '96 that I left.

23 Q You left in May of '96?

24 A Yes, I did.

25 Q And at that time, was there a movement of

1 CompuServe to the web that was being announced publicly?

2 A There were projects going on related to that
3 that I -- you know, I was aware of, but, you know, I
4 don't -- I don't remember any public announcement.

5 Q Wasn't it true that CompuServe didn't actually
6 go live on the -- on the web until at least late '97,
7 over a year-and-a-half later?

8 A I don't know.

9 Q You weren't there at the time?

10 A I wasn't there at the time, no.

11 Q Is the electronic mall operating today? I
12 mean, the CompuServe electronic mall.

13 A No.

14 Q In fact, CompuServe shut down last summer,
15 didn't they?

16 A The classic version of CompuServe shut down in
17 June of last year.

18 Q And the classic version was the version that
19 was the -- really the continuation of the version that
20 you testified about earlier today, isn't it? Isn't that
21 true?

22 A Yes. Sometimes after I left CompuServe, it
23 was acquired by America Online, and they basically
24 converted CompuServe to AOL technology. And they kept
25 vestiges of CompuServe as the classic service for a

1 while. CompuServe still exists today, but it's
2 basically a rebranded subset of AOL.

3 Q So CompuServe classic is gone. CompuServe
4 electronic mall is gone. Not in operation today; isn't
5 that right?

6 A That's true.

7 Q All right. I want to just touch briefly on
8 the Soverain/Amazon case that you did testify about as
9 an expert. This was a few years ago. And I just want
10 to refresh your recollection that two of the three
11 patents in this case were involved.

12 Do you recall that? You wrote a report in
13 that case.

14 A That was a long time ago, but, I mean, I'll
15 take your word for it that those are the same patents.

16 Q Yeah. I could show you your report, but
17 you'll find out that the '314 and the '492 were involved
18 in that case.

19 A I'm not going to question that.

20 Q Okay. Now, in that case, you relied on
21 CompuServe, but two different CompuServe systems than
22 the one you talked about today; isn't that so?

23 A As I recall, it was mainly the Travel Shopper,
24 airline reservation and ticketing system.

25 Q Okay. So you relied on something called

1 Travel Shopper, which dealt with making travel
2 reservations through CompuServe; is that right?

3 A You could make reservations, and you could
4 purchase tickets online.

5 Q I think the other system that you relied on in
6 that case was something called EZ Saver, another travel
7 system?

8 A EZ Saver was the American Airlines version.
9 Travel Shopper was the TWA version. But it was
10 basically the same software.

11 Q So in the Soverain versus Amazon case where
12 you testified as an expert and prepared a report on the
13 validity of the patents in that case, you relied on two
14 different CompuServe systems than the one that you
15 testified about today; isn't that true?

16 A Yes.

17 Q You did not even consider the CompuServe
18 electronic mall as prior art in connection with that
19 case, did you?

20 A Well, I didn't design Amazon's defense. You
21 know, I did some research, presented them with the
22 results of my software archeology, and I'm sure they had
23 good reasons for picking the CompuServe systems that
24 they did.

25 Q Well, you were their expert in that case,

1 weren't you?

2 A I wasn't their lawyer.

3 Q Didn't they ask for your technical expertise
4 in that case as to what they should or should not be
5 using as prior art?

6 A They asked me a lot of questions, I made some
7 recommendations, but I didn't design their defense.

8 Q But you ended up relying on things other than
9 CompuServe mail in that case, didn't you?

10 A Yes.

11 MR. GIANNETTI: Nothing further. Thank
12 you.

13 THE COURT: Redirect?

14 MR. GIANNETTI: Pass the witness.

15 REDIRECT EXAMINATION

16 BY MR. HANSON:

17 Q There were some questions asked about the O
18 command --

19 A Yes.

20 Q -- and whether or not the O command included a
21 product description.

22 Was there any need for a product description
23 in the O -- that went along with the O command?

24 A There was no need because the item had already
25 been selected, and the server was already holding that

1 information. So when the order command came along,
2 there was no doubt about what was being ordered.

3 Q There were some questions posed to you about
4 the Amazon case.

5 Now, I know it's been a while, and you're not
6 a legal expert, and we're not asking you for any legal
7 or expert opinions in this case.

8 Do you recall or not whether the claims that
9 were asserted in the Amazon case at the time you were
10 asked to give testimony regarding Travel Shopper and EZ
11 Saver are all the same -- all the same in both this case
12 and that case?

13 In other words --

14 A Yeah. It --

15 Q And if you know, you know. If you don't know,
16 you don't know. That's all I'm asking.

17 A To the best of my recollection, at least some
18 of the claims in the Amazon case had to do with the
19 actual purchasing process and maybe even using credit
20 cards, but certainly part of the purchasing process.
21 That's my recollection.

22 MR. HANSON: No further questions.

23 THE COURT: All right. Anything further?

24 MR. GIANNETTI: Nothing further, Your
25 Honor.

1 THE COURT: All right. You may step
2 down.

3 All right. Who will be your next
4 witness?

5 MR. BALDAUF: Your Honor, at this time,
6 we would like to offer the video deposition of Lawrence
7 Stewart, who was one of the inventors of the
8 patents-in-suit.

9 The video is 52 minutes long, 35 minutes
10 of which is to be charged to Newegg and 17 minutes of
11 which is to be charged to Soverain.

12 THE COURT: All right. Very well. You
13 may begin.

14 Ladies and Gentlemen of the Jury, I guess
15 this is probably the first video deposition we've had,
16 and let me just explain to you what that is.

17 Sometime prior to trial, the attorneys,
18 pursuant to Court rules, will take the deposition of a
19 witness where it's taken down under oath by a
20 stenographer, and it's also sometimes videoed.

21 And then when we come to trial, that
22 deposition can be introduced into evidence, and it has
23 the same force of testimony as if the witness were here
24 testifying live.

25 So the attorneys have gone through it,

1 and you heard some times referred to. And I mean, if
2 they played the whole thing, it might be four or five
3 hours long, so they've edited it down to 52 minutes of
4 what each side believes would be helpful to you.

5 So that's what you're going to be
6 hearing. So we'll be bringing the popcorn in in a
7 little bit, and y'all just sit back and enjoy
8 yourselves.

9 (Video playing with no sound.)

10 THE COURT: We normally have sound, too.
11 We're technologically advanced enough to hear it, so I'm
12 sure there's a little glitch in the computer there.
13 They'll get it fixed.

14 (Video playing.)

15 QUESTION: Are you being compensated for
16 your appearance here today?

17 ANSWER: I am being compensated for my
18 time but not for testimony.

19 (Video stopped.)

20 THE COURT: You want to start that over
21 where we can hear who the witness is, please?

22 (Video playing.)

23 QUESTION: Dr. Stewart, will you state
24 your full name, please?

25 ANSWER: Lawrence Colm Stewart.

1 QUESTION: And what is your residence?

2 ANSWER: In Wayland, Massachusetts.

3 QUESTION: And in what year were you
4 born?

5 ANSWER: 1955.

6 QUESTION: And by whom are you employed?

7 ANSWER: Serissa Research.

8 QUESTION: And how long have you worked
9 for Serissa Research?

10 ANSWER: Since 2001.

11 QUESTION: And who did you work for
12 before that?

13 ANSWER: Open Market.

14 QUESTION: Are you being compensated for
15 your appearance here today?

16 ANSWER: I am being compensated for my
17 time but not for testimony.

18 QUESTION: And at what hourly rate are
19 you being compensated?

20 ANSWER: Let me see if I remember. I
21 think it is about \$400 an hour.

22 QUESTION: And did you meet with counsel
23 in the near past to discuss preparation for this
24 deposition?

25 ANSWER: I did.

1 QUESTION: And for how many hours did you
2 meet with him?

3 ANSWER: Maybe six.

4 QUESTION: Do you have any financial
5 interest in the outcome of this lawsuit?

6 ANSWER: I do not.

7 QUESTION: Do you own any -- do you own
8 any stock in Soverain?

9 ANSWER: I do not.

10 QUESTION: Do you still own any stock in
11 Open Market?

12 ANSWER: I have some wallpaper from Open
13 Market, I think; but, no, it is, as far as I know, of no
14 value.

15 QUESTION: And how did you acquire stock
16 for Open Market?

17 ANSWER: I acquired founders' stock when
18 the company was started.

19 QUESTION: Did you ever sell any of your
20 founders' stock?

21 ANSWER: I did.

22 QUESTION: And -- and then retained some
23 after you left?

24 ANSWER: That's correct.

25 QUESTION: And is that the stock that

1 you're talking about as being wallpaper?

2 ANSWER: That's correct.

3 QUESTION: Well, let me ask you this:

4 When did you first join Open Market?

5 ANSWER: In -- in late April of 1994.

6 QUESTION: And what were your

7 responsibilities in late April 1994?

8 ANSWER: In the initial period, my
9 responsibilities were to build an engineering team and
10 work on the first product offerings of the company.

11 QUESTION: And did you build an
12 engineering team?

13 ANSWER: I did.

14 QUESTION: And -- and who comprised that
15 team?

16 ANSWER: The number of engineering staff
17 grew over time, so I can remember some of the early
18 ones. I'm not sure what you're asking.

19 QUESTION: I'm asking -- let me limit it
20 to the period 1994.

21 ANSWER: Let me see if I get everyone.
22 Andrew Payne, David Mackie, Win Treese, Henry Tumblin, I
23 think were the first maybe four. Later on, additional
24 folks.

25 QUESTION: In what year did you graduate

1 from high school?

2 ANSWER: 1972.

3 QUESTION: And what was your next step in
4 your education?

5 ANSWER: I attended the Massachusetts
6 Institute of Technology.

7 QUESTION: And did you graduate from MIT?

8 ANSWER: I did.

9 QUESTION: And what was your degree in?

10 ANSWER: A bachelor's degree in
11 electrical engineering.

12 QUESTION: In acquiring your degree in
13 electrical engineering, did you take computer science
14 courses?

15 ANSWER: I did not.

16 QUESTION: What did you do after you
17 graduated from MIT?

18 ANSWER: I attended graduate school.

19 QUESTION: Where?

20 ANSWER: At Stanford University.

21 QUESTION: And what was the -- what was
22 your study at Stanford?

23 ANSWER: A master's degree in electrical
24 engineering followed by a Ph.D.

25 QUESTION: Upon receipt -- were you

1 employed during the time you were at Stanford, other
2 than at the university working for your degrees?

3 ANSWER: I was a research intern at the
4 Xerox Palo Alto Research Center.

5 QUESTION: What year did you start at
6 Xerox?

7 ANSWER: I believe in the summer of 1977.

8 QUESTION: And for how many years did you
9 work at Xerox?

10 ANSWER: Until early 1984.

11 QUESTION: And what was the nature of
12 your work at Xerox?

13 ANSWER: I worked on a number of projects
14 broadly involving computer science and computer systems
15 research.

16 QUESTION: Can you be more specific?

17 ANSWER: Sure. The first project
18 involved data communications over power line carrier,
19 sending signals over the power wiring.

20 The second project involved building an
21 interface between the ARPANET and the Xerox Alto
22 computer that was used for the DARPA packet radio
23 program.

24 The third project involved writing
25 floating point microcode for the Alto.

1 My major project there following my
2 graduation was the Etherphone, a system for -- sort of a
3 private branch telephone system running over the
4 computer network.

5 QUESTION: Now, you mentioned the
6 ARPANET. Was that the precursor to what people today
7 refer to as the internet?

8 ANSWER: One of the precursors, I
9 suppose, yes.

10 QUESTION: Let's go on. It's been a long
11 time.

12 Subsequent to being awarded your Ph.D.,
13 what employment did you undertake?

14 ANSWER: I worked at the Xerox Palo Alto
15 Research Center.

16 QUESTION: And for how long did you stay
17 with Xerox?

18 ANSWER: From -- until the spring of --
19 or winter of 1984.

20 QUESTION: And did you take employment
21 after that?

22 ANSWER: I did.

23 QUESTION: And where was that?

24 ANSWER: At the Digital Systems Research
25 Center.

1 QUESTION: And what was the nature of
2 your work at the Palo Alto Research Center?

3 ANSWER: A number of projects involving
4 computer systems research, several. Do you want some --
5 do you want more -- more detail?

6 QUESTION: Please.

7 ANSWER: The major project was the
8 development of multiprocessor workstations, including
9 the so-called Firefly machine in various versions, work
10 on the Autonet local area network system, work on
11 hardware and software for voice on personal computers.

12 QUESTION: Okay. Subsequent to '89,
13 then, where did you go?

14 ANSWER: I transferred to the Digital
15 Cambridge Research Lab.

16 QUESTION: And what was still with
17 Digital Equipment Corporation?

18 ANSWER: That's correct.

19 QUESTION: And to whom did you report at
20 Cambridge?

21 ANSWER: At first, to Dr. Victor
22 Vyssotsky.

23 QUESTION: How do you spell that, if you
24 know?

25 ANSWER: Oh, man. V-Y-S-S-O-T-S-K-Y.

1 That's an approximation.

2 QUESTION: And what was nature of your
3 work when you joined the Cambridge Laboratory?

4 ANSWER: Again, there were a number of
5 computer systems research projects. The primary one was
6 early development of the Digital alpha-based machines.
7 And I was seconded, I suppose you would say, to the
8 Semiconductor Engineering Group, so I had offices in
9 both Cambridge and in Hudson, Massachusetts.

10 Other projects at the Cambridge Lab
11 included AudioFile, which was a system for managing
12 speech and audio on personal computers, and some work
13 with speech recognition as well.

14 QUESTION: The -- did you have
15 any employees report to you while you were at Cambridge?

16 ANSWER: I did.

17 QUESTION: And who were they?

18 ANSWER: I believe Andrew Payne and
19 perhaps Tom Levergood. I think the reporting
20 relationships at CRL were fairly vague.

21 QUESTION: Prior to joining Open Market,
22 did you have any experience with databases?

23 ANSWER: Yes.

24 QUESTION: Did you have any experience
25 with commercial databases?

1 ANSWER: I did not.

2 QUESTION: What databases did you have --
3 okay.

4 Can you describe your experience with
5 databases prior to joining Open Market?

6 ANSWER: At the Xerox Palo Alto Research
7 Center, there was a research project called Juniper,
8 which was a file system based on database technology. I
9 used that file system for the storage of data files for
10 my thesis research.

11 QUESTION: You used the term database
12 technology. Can you give us a sense of what you think
13 database technology comprises?

14 ANSWER: Well, the way I think about
15 databases is that they do a few things for you if you're
16 trying to build an application that -- you can store
17 things in them and get them back later, you can do
18 searching, and you can do transactions with a number of
19 properties, usually called ACID -- let's see if I can
20 remember this -- atomicity, consistency, isolation, and
21 durability. Applications may need some or all of these
22 aspects of databases.

23 QUESTION: Was the database that you
24 worked with one that made use of the SQL language?

25 ANSWER: Juniper did not provide SQL.

1 QUESTION: You used the term atomicity.

2 How does that relate to databases?

3 ANSWER: The usual example is that you

```
4  can -- the usual example is banking.  So if I want to
5  debit one account and credit another, those two events
6  must happen either together or not at all.
```

7 So you can't debit and fail to credit,
8 and you can't credit but fail to debit. They must both
9 happen or nothing happens. And that -- and that is sort
10 of the essence of an atomic transaction.

11 QUESTION: And you mentioned isolation.

12 How does that relate to databases?

13 ANSWER: As I understand it, the
14 activities of multiple users of the database should not
15 interfere with one another.

```
16         So it shouldn't make a difference.  If
17 you have independent activities using the same database,
18 it shouldn't -- one should not be able to affect the
19 correctness of the other.
```

20 QUESTION: And is durability simply what
21 it sounds like?

22 ANSWER: No, actually. I -- I believe
23 durability refers to not losing the data once it's in
24 there. The data is recorded in some stable fashion.

25 QUESTION: How does consistency relate to

1 before the company was funded so that I'm not sure that
2 the question makes sense.

3 QUESTION: When were you joined with
4 other employees at Open Market?

5 ANSWER: I think I was the first person
6 after Shikhar Ghosh and David Gifford to become
7 associated with Open Market, so the people who were
8 there when I got there, I suppose, were David and
9 Shikhar.

10 QUESTION: And which employees next
11 arrived?

12 ANSWER: David Mackie and Andrew Payne.
13 I'm not sure in which order. Oh, wait. Kim Alley was
14 perhaps quite early.

15 QUESTION: And when Mackie, Payne, and
16 Alley arrived, where were you located?

17 ANSWER: I think we did not have offices
18 then. I know that Andy and I were working out of our
19 basements.

20 QUESTION: Now, while you were working in
21 your basement, what were you working on?

22 ANSWER: I think my primary activity was
23 worrying about -- about sort of setting up technical
24 infrastructure, internet access, computers. There
25 were -- we had a series of meetings at which we

1 discussed what the company would do.

2 QUESTION: Who were the early -- early
3 investors in Open Market?

4 ANSWER: Greylock, the venture capital
5 firm, and an investment syndicate, the -- I don't know
6 if it was a formal business. The representative was
7 Guli Arshad.

8 QUESTION: Now, I understand
9 Mr. Gifford -- Dr. Gifford was an MIT professor; is that
10 correct?

11 ANSWER: Still is, I believe.

12 QUESTION: I see.

13 And how did you come to meet Dr. Gifford?

14 ANSWER: I think I first met him in -- in
15 Stanford. We lived in the same graduate student house.
16 He was in the same class as I was at MIT, but I don't
17 recall meeting him there.

18 QUESTION: Do you recall how it came
19 about that he recruited you for Open Market?

20 ANSWER: Yes. He -- he telephoned and
21 said: I have an idea for a company.

22 And he described it, and I said: David, that
23 is the first idea you've called me about that isn't
24 loony.

25 QUESTION: Had he called you about ideas

1 before?

2 ANSWER: Yes, he had, but I don't
3 remember what they were about.

4 QUESTION: Do you recall how he expressed
5 his idea that wasn't loony?

6 ANSWER: Not in detail. I think the
7 essence was: The internet is going to be open for
8 commercial activity, and we should do something about
9 commerce.

10 I'm sure there was more to it than that, but
11 that's what I remember.

12 QUESTION: Did he explain that he had any
13 experience with the internet and commercial activity?

14 ANSWER: I don't know if it was during
15 that phone call, but over the next month or so, he did,
16 yes.

17 QUESTION: To what extent did he provide
18 ideas during the year 1994 that played into the
19 developments at Open Market?

20 ANSWER: Well, he wrote the first
21 business plan for the company, and it was chock full of
22 ideas.

23 QUESTION: Did you have access to that
24 business plan?

25 ANSWER: I don't know. I must have -- I

1 suspect I did, but I don't recall specifically.

2 QUESTION: Did that business plan guide
3 your activities during 1993?

4 ANSWER: No.

5 QUESTION: Did it during 1994?

6 ANSWER: In part.

7 QUESTION: In what part?

8 ANSWER: Many of the ideas in it were
9 loony, and I ignored those. The -- I think the general
10 notion of providing a transaction service to enable
11 merchants to conduct commerce with customers was the
12 central idea of the company, and that survived very
13 well.

14 QUESTION: In what form did that survive?

15 ANSWER: I think that there -- that --
16 that the representative of that notion going forward
17 became the Transact product line.

18 QUESTION: Do you recall in what year the
19 Transact product line became available commercially?

20 ANSWER: Its name changed over the course
21 of the history, but in 1994.

22 QUESTION: And in what form was the
23 Transact product line made available in 1994?

24 ANSWER: The first public offering of the
25 thing that became known as Transact was in October of

1 1994. We called it the Open Marketplace, I think, and
2 we called the transaction engine the Payment Switch in
3 those days.

4 QUESTION: Was the Open Marketplace a
5 service provided by Open Market to enable merchants to
6 put a commercial web page on the internet?

7 ANSWER: I think that's one of the things
8 it enabled, among others.

9 QUESTION: Are you aware of any merchants
10 that put -- made use of the Open Marketplace?

11 ANSWER: I am.

12 QUESTION: And who are they?

13 ANSWER: The initial launch customers, I
14 believe, were Mead Data Central, a software company,
15 Ipswitch, and I'm not sure of the name, Kutter's Cheese
16 or something like that. That one may have been later.

17 QUESTION: And for how long did Open
18 Market offer the service, the Open Marketplace service?

19 ANSWER: I don't know. At some point,
20 the company decided its business was in selling the
21 software to others to operate rather than to operate by
22 itself, but I don't recall when that was.

23 QUESTION: I'm going to ask the reporter
24 to mark as Exhibit 3 a document that was produced
25 bearing production numbers SVN2-00039958 through -60,

1 and it bears a date of May 5th, 1994, and a title, Store
2 Building Kit.

3 Did you prepare this document?

4 ANSWER: It's -- I think so, yes.

5 QUESTION: Was this prepared during that
6 period of time when you were working at home, or was
7 this prepared later?

8 ANSWER: You know, I don't know the
9 answer. I don't know whether we moved into our first
10 offices. The...

11 QUESTION: Did Open Market eventually
12 design something referred to as the Store Building Kit
13 or the like?

14 ANSWER: Not in -- we did it a different
15 way in 1994. Later on, we had something much like this.
16 I think in 1995.

17 QUESTION: How does this differ from what
18 was done in 1994?

19 ANSWER: This is a description of a
20 shrink-wrapped software product that you would load onto
21 your own PC and build content.

22 What we developed in 1994 was called Online
23 Storebuilder that was an interactive process with a web
24 browser for the user and a web-server-based
25 implementation of the store building system.

1 QUESTION: Now, there's mention in the
2 second line of this first paragraph of the Open Mall.
3 Was that a project that was taken up during 1993 by Open
4 Market?

5 ANSWER: No.

6 QUESTION: I'm sorry. 1994?

7 ANSWER: Yes.

8 QUESTION: And can you describe how that
9 was taken up?

10 ANSWER: I think this is an early name
11 for the -- for the idea of Open Market itself operating
12 a -- a mall, namely, a collection of online merchants
13 that came -- came to commercial fruition as the Open
14 Marketplace in October.

15 QUESTION: On Page 3, the second to the
16 last paragraph begins: There might be, paren, at least,
17 close paren, two classes of merchants who would use the
18 kit.

19 The first class are folks who are already
20 plugged into the internet and have seen things like
21 mosaic or at least have seen AOL or CompuServe, close
22 paren.

23 What was your knowledge of AOL at the time of
24 preparing this document?

25 ANSWER: I believe I had seen other

1 people using it. I did not myself have an account or
2 myself have used it.

3 QUESTION: Do you know what other people
4 you had seen using it?

5 ANSWER: No.

6 QUESTION: Had you seen them using it for
7 purchase of -- for -- for making purchases of products?

8 ANSWER: I'm pretty sure not.

9 QUESTION: At the time of this memo, what
10 was your knowledge of CompuServe?

11 ANSWER: I knew it to be a -- an online
12 service, but -- but one disconnected from the internet.

13 QUESTION: And what kind of services did
14 CompuServe provide that you knew of at the time?

15 ANSWER: My general understanding, it was
16 sort of a commercial time-sharing service. You could
17 get an account, and you could log into it, and I
18 actually don't know what you could do once you got
19 there.

20 QUESTION: Did you have any familiarity
21 with a thing known as the CompuServe mall at the time of
22 preparing this document?

23 ANSWER: I did not.

24 QUESTION: Who was assigned to build the
25 Open Marketplace software?

1 ANSWER: There -- that pretty much
2 encompasses all of the software that Open Market was
3 working on, so I suppose everybody in engineering was
4 working on it in one way or another.

5 QUESTION: Did any individual have the
6 heavy oar, so to speak?

7 ANSWER: It's difficult to say which is
8 the heavy oar. I think fundamentally, I was working on
9 the transaction system.

10 Dave Mackie was working on the Online
11 Storebuilder aspects of it.

12 Andy Payne worked on servers and
13 infrastructure and the mall machinery.

14 Henry Tumblin worked on payment processing and
15 interactive voice response.

16 Win Treese worked on security and
17 authentication issues.

18 That's -- that's a rough breakdown, but we all
19 worked on everything.

20 QUESTION: I'm going to ask the reporter
21 to mark as Exhibit 4 a document that was produced with
22 production numbers SVN2-003967 through -86. It appears
23 to be an e-mail dated 8 May 1994.

24 Did you prepare this e-mail?

25 ANSWER: I have no reason to think I

1 didn't. I think I did.

2 QUESTION: Does it relate to one of the
3 early meetings that you mentioned earlier?

4 ANSWER: I think it does.

5 QUESTION: Is the Shikhar referred there
6 Mr. Ghosh?

7 ANSWER: Shikhar, yes, it is.

8 QUESTION: Shikhar?

9 ANSWER: That's correct.

10 QUESTION: And Andy refers to Andy Payne?

11 ANSWER: That's correct.

12 QUESTION: And Cathy (sic) -- no. Who is
13 Cathy (sic)?

14 ANSWER: I think I'm in trouble here.
15 That's misspelled. It's Kathy with a K. I think that's
16 Kathy Matthews, who is Shikhar's administrative
17 assistant.

18 QUESTION: So Kim Alley wasn't present,
19 according to this?

20 ANSWER: According to this, nobody else
21 was present, right.

22 QUESTION: And I believe if you go to the
23 last page -- the second to the last page of this
24 document, I think it appears at No. 85, there's an
25 indication that Mr. Treese was under consideration for

1 becoming an employee.

2 ANSWER: It looks that way, yes.

3 QUESTION: As was -- as was Mr. Mackie?

4 ANSWER: I don't see the reference to
5 Mackie.

6 QUESTION: Well, in the sentence
7 following Win Treese, it says --

8 ANSWER: Ah, I have it, yes.

9 QUESTION: So at that time, they were
10 probably not employees of Open Market? At that time
11 being the date of this document.

12 ANSWER: I believe that's correct.

13 QUESTION: Now, going back to the first
14 page, in a paragraph that begins: Stage 2, colon,
15 there's reference to the Future Fantasy Bookstore. And
16 my question to you is, what was your knowledge of the
17 Future Fantasy Bookstore at time of this e-mail?

18 ANSWER: I had been to the physical store
19 when I was in Palo Alto, so I had that much knowledge of
20 it. I don't know whether I had been to visit the
21 website, for example, or knew anything about the store
22 before this meeting.

23 QUESTION: And at this meeting, who
24 related the information which raised: Another example
25 is the Future Fantasy Bookstore, which is resident on a

1 DEC worldwide web server in Palo Alto?

2 ANSWER: I don't know for sure. Probably
3 Andy.

4 QUESTION: Did you come to have any more
5 specific understanding of the Future Fantasy Bookstore
6 website subsequent to the date of this e-mail?

7 ANSWER: I did.

8 QUESTION: And what was that knowledge?

9 ANSWER: At some point, I think I went to
10 visit the website, looked at the -- looked at the --
11 looked at some number of the screens.

12 QUESTION: Was that during 1994?

13 ANSWER: Very likely, yes, during the
14 summer of '94, sometime between here and mid-summer
15 probably.

16 QUESTION: During that period of time,
17 did you visit any other commercial websites, meaning
18 websites that offered products for sale?

19 ANSWER: Likely. The one I remember
20 was -- I don't remember the name of it, Internet
21 something, and I was stopped at the main entrance
22 because you had to have an account even to get inside,
23 and I didn't have one. Internet -- I don't remember.

24 QUESTION: Okay.

25 ANSWER: I remember being irritated.

1 QUESTION: Now, in the next paragraph of
2 this document, evidently, Mr. -- you're -- you're
3 recording what you believe Mr. Payne said at the
4 meeting, and -- and your sentence reads: The existing
5 online ordering is done by typing in credit card numbers
6 in the clear, or as done out-of-band, paren, by
7 confirming the order by telephone, for example, close
8 paren, or is done on the basis of a preexisting
9 relationship, like customer account with a specific
10 merchant.

11 Can you explain what was meant by in the
12 clear?

13 ANSWER: In the context of this
14 paragraph, I would say it means unencrypted and
15 potential of being intercepted.

16 QUESTION: At the time this document was
17 prepared, was there any way to use the available
18 browsers to transmit credit card numbers from an html
19 form to a web server that was encrypted?

20 ANSWER: I don't think I was aware of any
21 at that time. There is something on Page 4 about
22 something called secure mosaic, but I don't know whether
23 that was actual or what its capabilities were at the
24 time.

25 QUESTION: Did you ever become aware of

1 what the capabilities of secure mosaic were?

2 ANSWER: I'm not sure it's the same
3 thing. If this -- this is written here in the context
4 of EIT, so this might be referring to what later became
5 shttp, the secure web protocol devised by EIT and
6 Teresa.

7 QUESTION: Did Open Market ever use that
8 protocol?

9 ANSWER: I believe that the Open Market
10 web server in '95 was planned to or did implement shttp,
11 but nobody ever used it to my knowledge.

12 QUESTION: Continuing with Exhibit 4,
13 there's reference near the middle of second page to the
14 CompuServe mall. It reads: CompuServe-mall, and then
15 it's in parens, \$10 million, close paren, charges
16 \$50,000 to put up a large merchant.

17 Were you aware that at the time of this
18 e-mail, that CompuServe enabled merchants to put
19 products on their site?

20 ANSWER: I think it was something I
21 learned at the meeting.

22 QUESTION: Did you ever come to have more
23 understanding of the CompuServe mall than is related in
24 this sentence?

25 ANSWER: No.

1 QUESTION: Did the CompuServe mall serve
2 as the suggestion for producing the Open Marketplace at
3 Open Market?

4 ANSWER: I don't know any information
5 about that. I don't know.

6 QUESTION: Now, turning to the page that
7 bears the production number ending in 83, there's a
8 paragraph that begins Stage 2. And it reads: This
9 situation is involving -- evolving towards internet
10 access to existing dial-up services and to improved --
11 and to improved, paren, but still idiosyncratic, close
12 paren, interfaces.

13 On the internet, multiple sessions could
14 potentially offer multi-screen access to multiple
15 services. It goes on to say in parens: You can do this
16 on a CompuServe today, close paren.

17 Did you understand that CompuServe could
18 enable multi-screen access to multiple services at the
19 time of preparing this document?

20 ANSWER: I -- I -- I think I reported
21 that sentence, but I did not know what it was referring
22 to.

23 QUESTION: And at the meeting, who
24 related the gist of that sentence for you to record?

25 ANSWER: I don't know.

1 QUESTION: Could it have been Shikhar --

2 ANSWER: It could have been.

3 QUESTION: -- or Kathy?

4 ANSWER: Kathy seems unlikely. She was
5 mostly silent at these meetings.

6 QUESTION: Now, the next -- next
7 paragraph reads: A side note on changing models dash --

8 ANSWER: Hold on a second. I lost my
9 page.

10 Okay. I'm with you.

11 QUESTION: Side notes on changing models,
12 dash, existing time sharing systems, paren, including
13 CompuServe, close paren, can charge a flat rate per time
14 or per access or can simply delegate the charging system
15 to a vendor application.

16 Did you understand at the time of this
17 document that CompuServe provided vendor applications?

18 ANSWER: I don't think that's something I
19 knew or reported. I recorded it.

20 QUESTION: By 1998, had CompuServe
21 migrated to the internet?

22 ANSWER: I have no idea.

23 QUESTION: Beginning on the page that
24 ends in 69, there's a heading: Online statements.
25 And the second paragraph under that heading reads:

1 Within the Smart Statement, each line contains the usual
2 information about a transaction, but in addition, the
3 item is active.

4 What did you mean by the item is active?

5 ANSWER: As we built the system on the
6 Smart Statement, the line item was a hypertext link that
7 you could click on to see a detailed statement. So
8 active means it was a link you could activate.

9 QUESTION: And hypertext links were part
10 of the html markup language; is that correct?

11 ANSWER: The concept is older than that,
12 but html includes the ability to create hypertext links.

13 QUESTION: Did you later use other
14 databases?

15 ANSWER: We did.

16 QUESTION: What other databases were
17 used?

18 ANSWER: Later on, Transact supported
19 both Sybase and Oracle databases, and other Open Market
20 products, such as the -- some of the catalog products, I
21 think, used some other kind of database. I don't
22 remember the name of it. Not SQL.

23 QUESTION: Dr. Stewart, near the end of
24 October of 1994, did Open Market make some sort of a
25 rollout or announcement about products that were

1 available from Open Market?

2 ANSWER: That's correct.

3 QUESTION: And what products did they
4 announce?

5 ANSWER: I think we announced the service
6 Open Marketplace, which was not a software product per
7 se but a service, including Online Storebuilder, and the
8 transaction service implemented by the Payment Switch
9 and an initial set of stores and relationships.

10 QUESTION: Okay. For the addition of
11 every item to a shopping cart, was it necessary to
12 authenticate the user using basic authentication?

13 ANSWER: I believe in -- in October '94,
14 that's the way our code worked, yes.

15 QUESTION: Are you an author of this
16 book?

17 ANSWER: I am.

18 QUESTION: Did you co-author it with
19 Mr. Treese?

20 ANSWER: I did.

21 QUESTION: How did you and Mr. Treese go
22 about preparing this book or writing this book?

23 ANSWER: If recollection serves, this is
24 the second edition.

25 QUESTION: It says so.

1 ANSWER: We took the -- the text from the
2 first edition, and I think we divided it up into
3 chapters, and each worked on revisions of those chapters
4 and then traded them and went over each other's work
5 before arriving at the final text.

6 QUESTION: Do you recall if that's the
7 way you and Mr. Treese cooperated in writing the first
8 edition?

9 ANSWER: I think that's -- that's pretty
10 much the same method we used, yes.

11 QUESTION: And did you attempt to make
12 the discussions in the book as accurate as possible?

13 ANSWER: I think eventually with books,
14 you reach diminishing returns, and you just have to ship
15 it, but we made an effort to make it accurate.

16 QUESTION: If you'll turn to the -- Page
17 314 of the exhibit, there starts a chapter entitled
18 Shopping Carts and Order Management.

19 ANSWER: My copy does not have such a
20 page.

21 QUESTION: 319?

22 ANSWER: Ah, 319. Got it.

23 QUESTION: Now, in this chapter, there's
24 discussion of server-side shopping carts, client-side
25 shopping carts, and protocol-based shopping carts.

1 Are protocol-based shopping carts
2 described in Exhibit 1, which is '314 patent?

3 ANSWER: Could you repeat the question
4 now? I'm sorry. I took so long, I've forgotten the
5 wording.

6 QUESTION: Is there any discussion of
7 protocol-based shopping carts in Exhibit 1, the '314
8 patent?

9 ANSWER: They're certainly not called
10 protocol-based shopping carts. It just merely refers to
11 a shopping cart, as far as I can tell.

12 QUESTION: Is there any discussion in the
13 '314 patent of a client-side shopping cart?

14 ANSWER: I don't think so, no.

15 QUESTION: What would be your
16 understanding of the difference between a client-side
17 shopping cart and a protocol-based shopping cart?

18 ANSWER: As described in the book, a
19 client-side shopping cart is something perhaps
20 implemented in Java or ActiveX controls that you could
21 add items to without making any network communications
22 at all, so solely implemented on the client.

23 Perhaps if you had a CD-ROM-based
24 catalog, you could build up a shopping cart full of
25 items locally and then purchase it all at once.

1 A protocol-based shopping cart, as
2 described in the book, is a notion where the -- the
3 collection of items is -- is passed back and forth
4 between the server and the client as part of a -- as
5 part of the session.

6 QUESTION: So if a shopping cart was
7 maintained in a cookie, would that be a protocol-based
8 shopping cart?

9 ANSWER: In the intended usage of the
10 book, I would say that's true. It spends part of its
11 life in the client, most of its life on the road, and
12 part of its life in the server.

13 QUESTION: Now, at the time the '314
14 patent was filed in October 24th, 1994, were there
15 browsers available that implemented Java applets or
16 ActiveX controls or JavaScript?

17 ANSWER: Not to my knowledge.

18 QUESTION: So in -- at that date, the
19 only two ways of implementing a shopping cart was either
20 a protocol-based shopping cart or a server-side shopping
21 cart?

22 ANSWER: Well, in this taxonomy, I
23 suppose that's true, but we have to be careful not to --
24 not to confuse protocol-based shopping carts with
25 cookies, because that's not the only way to do it.

1 QUESTION: Now, I'm going to direct your
2 attention to Page 285 of the book. And there's a last
3 sentence of a paragraph near the bottom of the page that
4 reads: There are two ways to create a web session
5 today, colon, custom URLs and cookies, comma, as shown
6 in Figure 14-6.

7 ANSWER: I see that.

8 QUESTION: Is that an accurate statement
9 as of the time the book was written?

10 ANSWER: It's accurate -- as of 2003, I
11 think that's true. There's -- it might be possible to
12 do the same thing with TLS temporary keys. There's --
13 there's some other technologies, but I'm not sure they
14 were extant in 2003.

15 QUESTION: Were they extant in 1995?

16 ANSWER: I -- I don't know for sure.
17 There -- if -- if the TLS temporary keys or something
18 like that were available, that would be of not universal
19 applicability, I suppose. Well, cookies aren't either,
20 so I don't think we're talking about universal
21 applicability here.

22 QUESTION: Why are cookies not
23 universally applicable?

24 ANSWER: Oh, the key problem is people
25 turn them off. The -- there was a lot of trouble about

1 privacy and the implications of cookies in -- in the
2 time period from '95 to -- well, to current day.

3 QUESTION: Now, to your knowledge, in
4 1994, would it be accurate to say that there are two
5 ways to create a web session today: Custom URLs and
6 cookies?

7 ANSWER: I was not aware of the
8 availability of cookies in 1994, so this would not have
9 been an accurate statement then.

10 QUESTION: So then, as far as you know,
11 in 1994, the only way to implement a web session was to
12 use a custom URL?

13 ANSWER: There are some fine points about
14 what is a session, I guess, that -- some uses of things
15 that are session-like can be accomplished with
16 authentication protocols, but for -- for this
17 discussion, I think that it's accurate.

18 QUESTION: Would there be some relative
19 disadvantage in using the authentication protocol versus
20 the custom URLs?

21 ANSWER: Yes.

22 QUESTION: And what was that?

23 ANSWER: Two come immediately to mind.
24 The first one is that if you use an authentication
25 protocol as a session mechanism, that you get confused

1 if there are two of them running simultaneously.

2 So if I have my desktop machine and my
3 laptop -- not that we had laptops then -- it would be --
4 it would be difficult to -- if you used -- if I -- if I
5 used the same name and password, as it were, to log in
6 on both, there's no way for the servers to keep those
7 activities distinct. It looks like it's the same thing.
8 Now, sometimes that's exactly what you want but not
9 always.

10 The other key disadvantage of the use of
11 authentication methods as a session mechanism is that it
12 puts the system design at sort of a security
13 disadvantage.

14 If you have a lot of servers that you'd
15 like to do session-like things, it appears that you
16 might need many copies of the authentication information
17 floating around, and the more copies of it there are,
18 the less secure it is.

19 QUESTION: Did the abandoned shopping
20 carts occupy memory on the server?

21 ANSWER: That -- that depends on the
22 implementation of them. If -- if -- so what
23 implementation are we talking about?

24 QUESTION: Well, a server-side shopping
25 cart that has -- a server-side shopping cart computer

1 that has abandoned shopping carts, would that not have
2 occupied a larger amount of memory on the server than
3 was necessary?

4 ANSWER: Than necessary. Although
5 storage, I suppose, is so cheap that it may not be a
6 real issue.

7 In addition, you get one shopping cart
8 per registered customer in the implementation that --
9 that my code did, which is not an undue load. It's not
10 like you get thousands of them per customer. So it's a
11 bounded problem.

12 (End of video clip.)

13 THE COURT: Does that complete the
14 order -- offer?

15 MR. BALDAUF: That completes the
16 deposition transcript of Lawrence Stewart.

17 Your Honor, during that deposition, the
18 witness mentioned Deposition Exhibits 4, 21, 3, and 10,
19 and those correspond respectively to Defendant's
20 Exhibits 1, 9, 50, and 52, which we would like to move
21 into evidence at this time.

22 THE COURT: All right. Any objection?

23 MR. ADAMO: No, Your Honor.

24 THE COURT: Be admitted.

25 MR. BALDAUF: Your Honor, it's

1 approximately ten minutes to 5:00. We have one more
2 clip that's nine minutes long, if we could show that.

3 THE COURT: All right. Go ahead.

4 MR. BALDAUF: This would be the video
5 clip of Paul Esdale.

6 Mr. Esdale was the head of business
7 development at Open Market. The video, as I said, is
8 nine minutes long, seven minutes of which are to be
9 charged to Newegg and two minutes of which are to be
10 charged to Soverain.

11 THE COURT: Okay.

12 (Video playing.)

13 QUESTION: What were your job
14 responsibilities while you were at Open Market?

15 ANSWER: During what time period?

16 QUESTION: We can start at the beginning,
17 and then we'll move through it. So during your first
18 part of your tenure.

19 ANSWER: The first part of my tenure was
20 licensing Open Market's software products to outside
21 companies that were typically not end user customers.

22 QUESTION: Let me point to you on the
23 page marked as Esdale Exhibit 2, right after the little
24 drawing, there's a sentence that appears to me to read,
25 and correct me if I'm wrong: Open Market remains an

1 application software company, not a litigation.

2 ANSWER: Right.

3 QUESTION: What -- do you recall what
4 that meant?

5 ANSWER: What that meant was that -- and
6 this is a conversation with Gary -- that Gary's opinion,
7 shared by some and different than others, but pretty
8 much common, was that Open Market is a software company.
9 That's what our business was.

10 We had reached revenues of 80 or \$90
11 million a year, and we reached those revenues by selling
12 software and services to companies. That's what our
13 primary business was.

14 So the point here is that Open Market was
15 not a patent licensing company. That wasn't our primary
16 business. That's what that meant. And this is -- and
17 by the way, I will say that this is an unfinished
18 sentence, which means that we were probably moving
19 pretty quickly, which is not uncommon, and moved on to
20 the next thought and grabbed a note you can see below
21 it.

22 So what that probably would have -- it
23 said we are mainly a software company, not a litigation
24 company or a patent licensing company, as our primary
25 business. That's how I would refer -- that's what I

1 would interpret that comment as going back seven years.

2 QUESTION: During your tenure as leading
3 the patent group at Open Market, would you say that Open
4 Market remained a software company, as opposed to a
5 litigation company?

6 ANSWER: Yes.

7 QUESTION: The question pending was:
8 Were you aware whether there were high-level discussions
9 within the company about trying to leverage Open
10 Market's patents into sales to potential customers on
11 the software side?

12 ANSWER: A couple of things. What are
13 high-level discussions?

14 QUESTION: Do you recall having any
15 discussions within Open Market about trying to leverage
16 Open Market's patents into sales to potential customers
17 on the software side?

18 ANSWER: The question -- it's a question
19 that -- I want to answer your question --

20 QUESTION: Yes.

21 ANSWER: -- but I'm still having trouble
22 with the way you're phrasing it.

23 QUESTION: Okay.

24 ANSWER: I recall discussions of whether
25 it is appropriate to use patents to encourage sales of

1 products. We did not do that. That's the -- the
2 discussions we had were around we had a policy not to
3 use the patents in any way to leverage a sale of our
4 products.

5 QUESTION: In other words, as you
6 testified before, the sales pitch would not mention the
7 patents, except to the extent that it would say that
8 perhaps there's a patent out there or something like
9 that?

10 ANSWER: The -- what I said was that on a
11 company overview-type slide material --

12 QUESTION: Right.

13 ANSWER: -- it may say, Open Market is
14 five years old. Industry leader in E-commerce. Has
15 valuable patented technology, along the scope of like an
16 industry leader. Marketing materials would mention
17 patents.

18 Salespeople could give somebody a
19 corporate overview of Open Market, and in our corporate
20 overview, it might say something about the fact that the
21 company has patented technology. The salespeople would
22 typically not go beyond that.

23 And you asked about -- so those -- the
24 discussions that I recall were -- there were discussions
25 I recall about not using patents to leverage product

1 sales. You phrased it in a way of using. The
2 discussions I recall were not doing that.

3 QUESTION: During the course of the rest
4 of 1998, did Open Market begin to think more about the
5 different options available to it for its patent
6 portfolio?

7 ANSWER: I know that the patent team,
8 meaning especially Win, Eric, myself, with specifically
9 Rob Pressman of Bramson & Pressman, began -- we talked a
10 lot about the different options.

11 And I think it was from that middle of
12 '98 through '98, we were really exploring, discussing
13 the different options.

14 QUESTION: What were the different
15 options that you were considering?

16 ANSWER: The same options that we've
17 talked about earlier, licensing, not licensing, suing,
18 not suing, spinning out a patent company to do it, doing
19 nothing, using the patents defensively, using the
20 patents to consider licensing for folks that might be
21 interested in making strategic investments. All of
22 those options were discussed by that group.

23 QUESTION: During your tenure at Open
24 Market, did Open Market ever attempt to license its
25 patents to a company that used the Transact software?

1 ANSWER: I don't know.

2 QUESTION: To the best of your
3 recollection, can you recall a situation where Open
4 Market attempted to license its patents to a company
5 that used the Transact software?

6 ANSWER: I don't recall Open Market
7 attempting to license the patents to any Transact users,
8 to the best of my knowledge.

9 QUESTION: Did you discuss how pursuing a
10 potential license against a third party could affect any
11 potential software deal with that same third party?

12 ANSWER: Can you say that again?

13 QUESTION: Sure.

14 Did you discuss within Open Market
15 whether pursuing a patent licensing strategy against a
16 particular company could adversely affect any software
17 sales to that same third-party company?

18 ANSWER: So did I discuss that?

19 QUESTION: Yes.

20 ANSWER: Yes.

21 QUESTION: What do you recall about those
22 discussions?

23 ANSWER: I just recall my -- the
24 attorneys -- my discussions were with the attorneys, so
25 maybe they're privileged -- I don't know -- where I was

1 just advised that -- myself, it's not a good idea to go
2 to a company in any way and make any kind of assertion
3 that they need to buy our products because we have
4 patents.

5 And that was the extent of what I recall.
6 That was a conversation really more advisory between
7 me and -- I'm not sure if it was Eric Pyenson or whether
8 it was the Bramson & Pressman guys or Fish & Richardson,
9 but I can remember that general theme working with my
10 attorneys. Most likely, it was probably Bramson &
11 Pressman.

12 QUESTION: Do you recall ever having a
13 conversation regarding not pursuing a license against a
14 company because of the risk of losing a software sale to
15 that same third-party company?

16 ANSWER: Me?

17 QUESTION: Yes.

18 ANSWER: I don't remember being involved
19 in a conversation like that. I don't recall any
20 conversation like that.

21 QUESTION: Do you recall whether Open
22 Market had any conversations regarding not pursuing
23 patent licensing targets for fear or risk of losing
24 software business?

25 ANSWER: I don't. I don't recall that.

1 QUESTION: Sure. As based on your
2 knowledge of -- of the patents and Open Market's
3 Transact products and if a customer bought a Transact
4 product, did that mean to you that the customer had a
5 license under the Open Market patents?

6 ANSWER: No.

7 (End of video clip.)

8 THE COURT: All right. Does that
9 complete the offer?

10 MR. BALDAUF: That's it, Your Honor.

11 THE COURT: All right. It's almost 5:00
12 o'clock, so I think we're going to adjourn here in a
13 moment.

14 Let me inquire first from Newegg as to
15 what witnesses you'll have tomorrow and how long you
16 anticipate your direct examination of each will take.

17 MR. SAYLES: May it please the Court.
18 Tomorrow there will be two more depositions that will
19 last a total of about 34 minutes.

20 THE COURT: Which two are those?

21 MR. SAYLES: That's Shikhar Ghosh, which
22 is 32 minutes for Newegg and -- make that 33. Soverain
23 only had 20 seconds. We'll take it off.

24 And Pyenson, and that's 4 minutes, all
25 for Newegg.

1 THE COURT: Okay.

2 MR. SAYLES: And then after that, we'll
3 call Mr. Ed Tittel, our expert witness, and then after
4 that, we'll call Mr. Bakewell, who is our damages
5 expert.

6 THE COURT: Okay. And how long do you
7 anticipate your direct on Mr. Tittel will take?

8 MR. SAYLES: Mr. Tittel?

9 MR. BALDAUF: Approximately two hours,
10 Your Honor.

11 THE COURT: Two?

12 All right. And how long does Plaintiff
13 anticipate for cross for Mr. Tittel?

14 MR. GIANNETTI: I would say about an
15 hour, Your Honor.

16 THE COURT: All right. And what about
17 Mr. Bakewell?

18 MR. SAYLES: I would say about an hour
19 and 20 minutes on direct.

20 THE COURT: Okay. Cross?

21 MR. SATINE: About an hour, Your Honor.

22 THE COURT: All right. And who after
23 that, or is that it?

24 MR. SAYLES: That's it.

25 THE COURT: Very good. Defendant will

1 rest then?

2 MR. SAYLES: Yes, we will, Your Honor.

3 THE COURT: And then will Plaintiff have
4 any rebuttal?

5 MR. ADAMO: We will, Your Honor.

6 THE COURT: All right. And who all will
7 you have tomorrow?

8 MR. ADAMO: At the moment, Dr. Shamos,
9 who's the only person that we are planning on. But I
10 haven't, quite frankly, reached an ultimate decision on
11 that.

12 THE COURT: Right. Just best guess right
13 now.

14 Dr. Shamos, how long will you take on
15 direct on him?

16 MR. ADAMO: Mr. Giannetti is going to do
17 it.

18 MR. GIANNETTI: I would say an hour, hour
19 and 15, in that range.

20 THE COURT: Okay. And cross?

21 MR. HANSON: It really depends upon what
22 he says, Your Honor, but perhaps an hour.

23 THE COURT: All right. Let's see.

24 Well, if y'all stick with those
25 estimates, we've got eight hours of testimony to get in

1 tomorrow.

2 So we're getting in about -- Ladies and
3 Gentlemen of the Jury, about six a day by the time we
4 start at 9:00 and go till 5:00, have an hour for lunch,
5 and maybe six-and-a-half and take our morning and
6 afternoon breaks.

7 So we need to either -- we need to try to
8 finish tomorrow where we can come back on Friday morning
9 and give you the charge and hear arguments.

10 We can either start a little early and
11 really put in a long day tomorrow or if counsel feel
12 like they can give any time back or we can come back on
13 Friday morning.

14 What would be the jury's desire: To try
15 to get through with the testimony tomorrow or to plan to
16 come back Friday morning and finish it up?

17 Would you rather put in -- all of those
18 that would like to put in a long day tomorrow and get
19 through with it, raise your hand. Okay.

20 Those that would like to come back on
21 Friday and not put in such a long day tomorrow?
22 Okay.

23 JUROR: Those of us that don't care?

24 THE COURT: What did you say?

25 JUROR: Those of us that don't care.

1 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Well, I
2 tell you what I think we'll do then, I'm going to ask
3 the attorneys to really look at their testimony tomorrow
4 and see how you can pare it down and just try to hit the
5 really important stuff and see if we -- we'll be pushing
6 it even -- with the estimates you've given me.

7 But I'm going to ask the jury, if y'all
8 don't mind being here at 8:30 in the morning, we'll plan
9 to start at 8:30, and we'll plan to go until we finish.
10 So that may be 5:30 or 6:00 tomorrow afternoon.

11 So just -- anybody have any trouble
12 staying that late due to childcare or anything?
13 If we have to. I hope we don't have to. I hope we can
14 finish up by 5:00 o'clock, but just in case we can't,
15 so...

16 Okay. Well, that will be our plan. I'll
17 ask the attorneys to really look at their witnesses and
18 testimony and everything and see if you can pare it down
19 some tonight.

20 Mr. Adamo?

21

22 MR. ADAMO: Time, Your Honor? What have
23 you got us on the clock at?

24 THE COURT: Yes. Plaintiff has used 8
25 hours and 27 minutes, and Defendant has used 7 hours and

1 12 minutes.

2 And just for the jury's information, what
3 I've done with the parties to try to keep things moving,
4 I've given them each 12 hours of testimony and time.

5 Now, they've indicated to me -- let's
6 see -- that's 7, 15 -- well, they're talking about using
7 pretty close to the whole thing. So I was hoping they
8 could maybe be able to give us a few hours back.

9 But we've used about, let's see, 15,
10 almost 16 hours, so that's about right. We've got about
11 8 more hours of testimony, if they use everything.

12 So, hopefully, we can -- if each side can
13 give back some time, it would sure be good, so...

14 Anyway, thank y'all for your attention
15 today. You did great. I know it's long and tedious,
16 and you're tired of sitting and everything, but we're
17 getting close to the end.

18 So we'll finish the testimony tomorrow,
19 and then we'll get this wrapped up on Friday.

20 So remember my instructions. Please
21 don't discuss the case among yourselves or with anyone
22 else. Don't do any independent research. Have a safe
23 drive home and a restful evening, and we'll see you back
24 here in the morning at 8:30, 8:30 in the morning.

25 COURT SECURITY OFFICER: All rise.

1 THE COURT: Do the attorneys need to
2 visit with me about anything?

3 MR. SAYLES: Yes.

4 THE COURT: All right.

5 (Jury out.)

6 THE COURT: Before we do anything else,
7 I'm going to ask the parties, whoever's turn it is, if
8 you would plan to provide a sandwich tray for the jury
9 tomorrow, and we'll probably do about a 30-minute lunch
10 tomorrow to try to get on through.

11 So who's got the ball tomorrow on food;
12 do y'all know?

13 MS. GOODMAN: We've been splitting it
14 each day.

15 THE COURT: Excuse me?

16 MS. GOODMAN: We've been splitting it
17 each day.

18 THE COURT: Okay. Well, that's fine.

19 Just however -- y'all have been doing a
20 great job with it, and court staff has even snacked on a
21 little bit of it, and so we appreciate that.

22 But if you'll -- if you would -- you can
23 get a sandwich tray from Subway or Jason's Deli or
24 whatever you'd like to do.

25 MR. ADAMO: Your Honor, if there's a

1 chance we're going to have to work until 6:00, maybe we
2 should try to get some afternoon snack stuff, too, maybe
3 cookies or something.

4 THE COURT: Yeah. Some -- some
5 cookies -- cookies would be great. But I don't -- I
6 think, if we start at 8:30 and we do 30 minutes for
7 lunch, we ought to certainly be finished by 4:00, and
8 especially if both of you stick to the 11 hours you've
9 told me you were going to try to do.

10 MR. ADAMO: Why did I know I was going to
11 get reminded of that? Gee, let me think.

12 Yes, we understand, Your Honor. We've
13 been trying to -- we'll work tonight with a sharp pencil
14 and see what we can do.

15 THE COURT: Good. Okay.

16 What else?

17 Oh, y'all sit down everyone.

18 MR. SAYLES: May it please the Court.

19 Your Honor this morning said that I would
20 have an opportunity to make my objections to the two
21 exhibits that were mentioned yesterday afternoon, and
22 I'm prepared to do that now.

23 THE COURT: All right. That's P54 and
24 P244?

25 MR. SAYLES: That's correct, Your Honor.

1 THE COURT: All right.

2 MR. SAYLES: P244 is Dr. Grimes' slides
3 that were used during his testimony, and there were over
4 a hundred of them.

5 I certainly agree that those are proper
6 demonstrative aids as testimony is developed, but I
7 would object to those as improper exhibits to go to the
8 jury room as being hearsay, as being cumulative, and as
9 not being a proper summary under Rule 1006.

10 They ought not to go to the jury room for
11 the very same reason that we don't send deposition
12 transcripts or interrogatory answers and the like.
13 So that is the objection to 244, which is Dr. Grimes'
14 slides.

15 THE COURT: All right. Response?

16 MR. ADAMO: Your Honor, I believe -- I
17 can't quite remember -- I know I gave Mr. Sayles a bench
18 memo, although I don't quite remember what --

19 THE COURT: Now, are you tired this
20 evening?

21 MR. ADAMO: No, I'm not going to use that
22 excuse. I'm not tired. I'm just trying to -- in sum or
23 substance, Your Honor, I can certainly make the bench
24 memo available to the Court.

25 THE COURT: I've got it right here in

1 front of me.

2 MR. ADAMO: Oh, you do. All right.

3 THE COURT: I haven't read it recently
4 since yesterday, but...

5 MR. ADAMO: In sum or -- in sum or
6 substance, from the cases that are cited here,
7 particularly, the C.R. Bard case out of northern
8 Illinois and the other cases that we cited, the Fifth
9 Circuit actually is very favorable to this idea. These
10 documents are, in fact, proper 1006 summaries.

11 THE COURT: Why?

12 MR. ADAMO: Because they are favored as
13 deposition excerpts, smaller summaries and excerpts of
14 depositions. The United States versus Bishop, United
15 States versus Segines, all the cases we cited here --

16 THE COURT: Well, I mean, we usually --
17 we usually don't put demonstratives in, so I'm just --
18 you know, is there some factual reason why these are
19 different?

20 MR. ADAMO: Yes, because they're not just
21 demonstratives. If you recall, Dr. Grimes said on
22 several occasions, at the bottom of each of the slides,
23 he's got all of the source information.

24 So, essentially, what he did is, he
25 summarized on each slide the information that was the

1 basis for what was shown on the slide, and that included
2 detailed citations from the 30(b)(6) deposition of
3 Mr. Wu, the various exhibits that were relied upon and
4 such.

5 And that is a consistent use of 1006 with
6 C.R. Bard, the Carter/Massey-Ferguson case and various
7 Fifth Circuit cases that we have -- that we have cited.

8 THE COURT: Okay. Do you have any
9 objection to their expert's demonstratives being
10 introduced?

11 MR. ADAMO: No. He didn't put them
12 together the same way as mine are, but no. I mean, 1006
13 summaries are 1006 summaries. So no.

14 THE COURT: Okay. Response?

15 MR. SAYLES: Well, I would prefer not to
16 do that, but, naturally, if their slides are admitted,
17 then it would be only prudent for us to mark ours and
18 offer them.

19 But I would urge the Court to use them in
20 the usual fashion, as demonstrative aids, and that they
21 not be in the jury room. The exhibit numbers that were
22 cited on the summaries indeed are in evidence, and those
23 are the evidence in the case.

24 THE COURT: All right. The Court's going
25 to sustain the objection.

1 What's next?

2 MR. SAYLES: The next one is 54. And
3 that is Dr. Grimes' checkmarks on the claim charts
4 where, as he went through and said each element was
5 satisfied, he put a red checkmark.

6 I think that that has occurred in every
7 patent case that I've ever been involved in, but those,
8 too, are demonstrative aids. They're proper
9 demonstrative aids, and I didn't object to them on that
10 basis.

11 But I would object to those as them being
12 exhibits, because they're hearsay, and they're
13 cumulative, and the testimony of Dr. Grimes and the
14 documents are the proper testimony for those charts to
15 go to the jury room.

16 MR. ADAMO: Well, Your Honor, it comes
17 down -- and at the risk of getting you annoyed at me on
18 what you just said, the essence of summaries is -- yes,
19 the Wu -- the Wu deposition testimony and the
20 compendiums of those have been agreed and admitted into
21 evidence. The exhibits are admitted into evidence.

22 But that's exactly why these documents --
23 I've tried to move them in as a summary, because when
24 they go back to the jury room, instead of boxes of stuff
25 that the jurors are going to have to try to dig out and

1 assemble, the charts are exactly the type of summary
2 that makes this easier for the jury to look at the
3 evidence.

4 The checkmarks essentially come out to be
5 the same thing. Now, I will agree, my argument is not
6 as good on the checkmarks as it is on the 1006
7 summaries, which you just changed your ruling on from
8 yesterday, but that's why the 1006 summaries are on the
9 charts.

10 So I'm complying with putting his in for
11 the same reasons -- are actually advantageous to juror
12 consideration, because you've got this much material
13 rather than eight boxes of stuff back in the room.

14 THE COURT: All right. I'm going to
15 sustain the objection as to 54.

16 Let me see the charts as to 244. Do you have those?

17 MR. ADAMO: I have mine, if Your Honor
18 will give me one moment.

19 THE COURT: I think you've got a little
20 more basis on that, but I'm still not...

21 MR. ADAMO: Should I just hand the binder
22 up to Your Honor?

23 THE COURT: That's fine.

24 MR. ADAMO: They're all in this tab.

25 THE COURT: Okay.

1 MR. ADAMO: You'll have to flip through
2 them, but you can see where the source is down at the
3 bottom.

4 THE COURT: Okay.

5 MR. ADAMO: Here you go, Ms. Ferguson.
6 Got it?

7 COURTROOM DEPUTY: Yes.

8 MR. ADAMO: There's usually a line at the
9 bottom of each one of them, Your Honor. It starts off
10 with source.

11 Short -- Mr. -- Mr. Roth, as I'm sure you
12 noticed, has whispered in my ear, shorthand summary, I
13 guess he thought that would be better.

14 THE COURT: All right. The Court is
15 going to stick with its ruling. The objection is
16 sustained.

17 What else?

18 Mr. Sayles, anything else?

19 MR. SAYLES: From -- from me, no, Your
20 Honor, but I think Mr. Baldauf does have a couple of
21 items.

22 THE COURT: All right.

23 MR. BALDAUF: Well, Your Honor, just
24 briefly with respect to exhibits that were introduced
25 today --

1 THE REPORTER: Can you go to the
2 microphone? I'm sorry.

3 MR. BALDAUF: Your Honor, I would just
4 like to offer into evidence some of the exhibits that
5 were discussed today, specifically Defendants' Exhibits
6 2 and --

7 THE COURT: Why don't you do that
8 tomorrow morning in front of the jury. Just have a
9 list, and we'll --

10 MR. BALDAUF: Fair enough.

11 THE COURT: -- we'll introduce that, and
12 get counsel's agreement on it, okay?
13 Anything further?

14 MR. SAYLES: Nothing further from Newegg.

15 MR. ADAMO: Your Honor, will the bench
16 memo end up in the record now? I would ask --

17 THE COURT: If you filed it.

18 MR. ADAMO: I have not, but I will.

19 THE COURT: All right. Well, I've made
20 marks all over it.

21 MR. ADAMO: No. I'll get a clean --

22 THE COURT: Yeah, you can file it.

23 MR. ADAMO: All right. Thank you, Your
24 Honor.

25 THE COURT: We'll be adjourned.

1 COURT SECURITY OFFICER: All rise.

2 (Court adjourned.)

3

4

5 C E R T I F I C A T I O N

6

7 I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript

8 from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled

9 matter.

10

11 /s/

12 SHEA SLOAN, CSR

13 OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

14 STATE OF TEXAS NO. 3081

15

16

17 /s/

18 JUDITH WERLINGER, CSR

19 DEPUTY OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

20 STATE OF TEXAS NO. 267

21

22

23

24

25